Of

## EXHIBITS

No.	Def. No.	Pros.	Description For Ident	In Evidenc
2111	3137		Affidavit of Charles Ream Jackson, Warrant Officer, U. S. Marine Corps	27926
2076	3138		Telegraphic Report of the International Red Cross, dated August 1945	27938
2296				
2296-1	3139		Series of Letters of Appreciation to the POW Camp Commanders in Japan	27941
2214	3140		List of POW Camps Inspect- ed in 1942	27949
2141	3141		Statement by Father Marella	27953
			HORNING RECESS	27962
2244	3142		Record of the Court-Martial of three POW's	27963
1592	3143		Affidevit of SAITO, Yoshie	27964
1936	3144		Affidavit of HATSUMOTO, Shunichi	27982
1656	3145		Book entitled "Outline of Treaties and Proceedings Between Japan, Italy and Germany" 27984	
1656-A	3145-	-Λ	Excerpts therefrom	27985
			NOON RECESS	27999

Of

EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

Doc.	Def. No.	Pros.	Description	For Ident E	In
2477	3146		Affidavit of Eugen Ott		28019
2120	3147		Affidavit of MURATA, Yachiho		28026
	3148		Book entitled "History of the Chinese Com- munist Party for the Year 1932"	28036	
			AFTERNOON RECESS		28038
	3149		Book entitled "History of the Chinese Com- munist Party for the Year 1933"	28046	
	3150		Book entitled "History of the Chinese Com- munist Party for the Year 1934"	28047	
	3151		Book entitled "History of the Chinese Com- munist Party for the Year 1935"	28047	
	3152		Book entitled "History of the Chinese Com- munist Party for the Year 1936"	28048	
	3153		Book entitled "History of the Chinese Com- munist Party for the Year 1937"	28049	
2463	3154		Affidavit of BABA, Shachi		28053

Of

EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

Doc. No.	Def. No.	Pros. No.	Description	For Ident.	In Evidenc
1801	3155		Map of the Hailar Sapp Area prepared by th Japanese Land Surve Department showing Manchukuo-Mongolia Border following th River Khalkin	e y the	28062
1802-A to 1802-E	3156-A to 3156-D		Set of five Maps prepared by the Japanese Land Survey Department from 1933 to 1936 - the Nomonhan Area showing the Manchukuo-Mongolia Boundary follows the Khalkin-Gol from Lake Buir Nor		28063
1803-A to 1803-F	3157 3157-A to 3157-E		Set of six Maps (taken from exhibit No. 27	13)	28064

0f

## WITNESSES

Defense' Witnesses	Page
SAITO, Yoshie (recalled)	27964
Direct by Mr. Cunningham	27964
(Witness excused)	27982
HATANO, Kanichi	28049
(Witness released)	28052

Tuesday, 9 September 1947 1 2 3 INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL 4 FOR THE FAR EAST Court House of the Tribunal War Ministry Building 5 Tokyo, Japan 6 The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment, 8 at 0930. 9 10 Appearances: 11 For the Tribunal, all Members sitting, with 12 the exception of: HONORABLE JUSTICE R. B. PAL, Member 13 from India, not sitting from 0930 to 1600. 14 For the Prosecution Section, same as before 15 For the Defense Section, same as before. 16 17 (English to Japanese and Japanese 18 to English interpretation was made by the 19 Language Section, IMTFE.) 20 21 22 23 24 25

R 1 e c K p 10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

THE PRESIDENT: Major Moore.

LANGUAGE ARBITER (Major Moore): If the Tribunal please, we present the following language corrections:

Exhibit 2234, record page 16,029, line 4, delete "to my friends" and substitute ", (comma) following the advice of my colleagues, (comma)"; delete "various."

Line 5, substitute "at various places" for "all over the country."

Line 16, delete from "such" to "ridiculed" and substitute "I was made fun of."

THE PRESIDENT: In chambers counsel for the accused ARAKI applied for subpoena for certain witnesses, twenty-four in all. For the time being, subpoena will not be issued. I understand from Mr. Mc-Manus, counsel for the accused, that affidavits have been obtained from those witnesses. Those affidavits can be tendered in the ordinary way and will be dealt with on their merits. If the witness in any case is required for examination or cross-examination, then his attendance will be directed, and if necessary,

24

a subpoena will issue. Mr. Freeman. MR. FREEMAN: If the Tribunal please, con-tinuing from yesterday, I read into evidence exhibit 3137. 

"Having been duly sworn, does hereby depose and state as follows:

"My name is Charles Ream Jackson, and I am a Commissioned Warrant Officer of the United States Marine Corps. I have been in the military and naval service more or less continuously since 14 June, 1917. Prior to entering the Marine Corps on 3 September, 1927, I had some eight years service in the Infantry and Coast Artillery of the Army, rising to the rank of First Lieutenant. I resigned, with an honorable record, on 27 July, 1925; was commissioned a First Lieutenant in the Officers Reserve Corps, Infantry, with a certificate of capacity for Captain. I resigned this commission to enter the Marine Corps.

"In the Marine Corps I rose to the rank of Sergeant Major, the highest an enlisted men could go, and at the outbreak of war was serving as the Battalion Sergeant Major of the Second Battalion, Fourth Marine Regiment. I served through Bataan and Corregidor, was twice wounded and twice awarded the Purple Heart, and was awarded the Silver Star decoration for gallantry in action against the enemy.

"As a prisoner, I was confined mainly in Cabanatuan Prison Camp, leaving there about the middle of August of 1944, and arrived in Hanowa Camp, Akita

Prefecture, Honshu Island, Japan, shortly after the 1st day of September, 1944.

"I had suffered from bacillic dysentery, amoebic chronic dysentery, edema and neuritis beri beri, ambliopia - a sort of dimnes of vision caused by starvation - ulcers, malaria, pellagra, and general malnutrition, among other ailments. At the time I arrived in Hanowa my main sicknesses were chronic amoebic dysentery, recurrent malaria, pellagra, and both types of beri beri. I weighed about one hundred twenty-five pounds, some fifty pounds underweight.

I had about recovered from my wounds.

"I consider my mental condition perfectly normal, considering the conditions of my captivity.

"At the time of our arrival, a young Second Lieutenant of the Imperial Army was in command of the camp - I recall not his name - and he was replaced some six weeks later by one First Lieutenant ASAKA of the Japanese Infantry.

"There were five hundred enlisted prisoners,
Captain Elmer P. Fleming, Field Artillery Reserve,
First Lieutenent Richard T. Pullen, Coast Artillery
Reserve, Major Jackson of the Army Medical Corps,
and First Lieutenent John E. Lamy, likewise of the
Medical Corps. There were some eight Army Medical

.

Prefecture, Honshu Island, Japan, shortly after the 1st day of September, 1944.

"I had suffered from bacillic dysentery, amoebic chronic dysentery, edema and neuritis beri beri, ambliopia - a sort of dimnes of vision caused by starvation - ulcers, malaria, pellagra, and general malnutrition, among other ailments. At the time I arrived in Hanowa my main sicknesses were chronic amoebic dysentery, recurrent malaria, pellagra, and both types of beri beri. I weighed about one hundred twenty-five pounds, some fifty pounds underweight. I had about recovered from my wounds.

"I consider my mental condition perfectly normal, considering the conditions of my captivity.

"At the time of our arrival, a young Second Lieutenant of the Imperial Army was in command of the camp - I recall not his name - and he was replaced some six weeks later by one First Lieutenant ASAKA of the Japanese Infantry.

"There were five hundred enlisted prisoners, Captain Elmer P. Fleming, Field Artillery Reserve, First Lieutenant Richard T. Pullen, Coast Artillery Reserve, Major Jackson of the Army Medical Corps, and First Lieutenant John E. Lamy, likewise of the Medical Corps. There were some eight Army Medical

.

Corpsmen, all enlisted, included in the five hundred.

"For the first ten days we loafed and rested, with extra rice, but never enough food for our starved bodies. Camp details were set by Captain Fleming, and rosters prepared. We were organized into one group to work on the top side of the copper mine, working muck; another group to work in the machine shop; about four groups to work beneath the ground mining ore; a group in the smeltery, and the aforesaid camp detail group. This last was the prized and desirable detail, and was set by Captain Fleming.

"The Mitsubishi Mine People had gone to considerable expense and trouble to receive us, and had built a new barracks to house us. It was better made than the ordinary construction in the village, as I could see. It was obvious, since we had all been processed at Bilibid Prison in the Philippines, and marked 'fit' by American doctors - the sick were taken off the detail - that the Mine folk expected five hundred able-bodied men. However, as I said, I was by American standards a sick man, and so were most of the others.

"A Japanese Doctor whom we called the 'Black Prince' came in after we had been there for a month or less. Major Jackson was a too kindhearted man, and

had placed about three hundred and fifty of these prisoners on quarters, meaning they were too sick to work. He was no diplomat, hated the Japanese, and refused to back down from his position that if these men went to work, they would soon die. The 'Black Prince' shook most of them off the list, all but forty, whom he considered very sick. The rest were sent to the Mine. It was obvious that the Army authorities and Mine folk wanted to know why these men were not working, and pressure was put on Lieutenant Asaka to get them back to work.

"The Doctors were relieved from all duties, and a medical sergeant, whom we called 'Cyclops', ran the sick call. He gradually let the quarters list increase to nearly eighty men. Lieutenant Pullen, who knew a little Japanese, was sick-call interpreter.
'Cyclops' was totally ignorant of his duties, and was putting smooth malingerers of long practice in such on the quarters list and sending sick men to work. Around November 20 he sent a Private of the Army named Miller up to the Mine to work, and Miller died on the way back of pneumonia, aggravated by malnutrition. At the same time a Japanese Inspection Party was in the camp. As a result, Doctor Jackson was sent to some Tokyo Hospital or other; Doctor Lamy partly resumed medical dut-

ies, supervised by 'Cyclops' - a now subdued and chastened man - and some two weeks later, we got Doctor Dan Golenternek, Captain of the Army Medical Corps, in as Camp Surgeon. This man was a splendid physician, and a master diplomat in working with the Japanese. He took full charge, and in a short time, as the bitter cold came upon us, around three hundred or more were on the quarters list.

"Lieutenant ASAKA even had in civilians for heavy work, such as clearing snow off the roofs, and exptying the latrines, assisted in this latter, partially, by the prisoners.

"'Cyclops' got up a sort of forty-bed hospital, and things began to get better. From what I personally observed of the adjacent Chinese and Korean
prisoners, and the free Japanese Mine workmen, by
Oriental standards we were treated very well. By
our American standards, we were badly treated. But
then there was a war on, and our captors were Oriental.

"Punishments were handed out, as far as possible, by Captain Fleming, who, as far as I knew, never
reported a man to the Japanese. These were of a minor
nature, mainly forfeiture of the small tobacco ration
for theft from each other and from the common food
supply. The Japanese punished on the spot with a

slapping that hurt mostly our dignity for petty offenses, such as not saluting properly, being out of uniform in freezing weather, and petty thefts, when detected.

"It was several months before ASAKA put anyone in the Brig, and practically every man who went in
there richly deserved it. They stole from fellow
prisoners, or from the common food supply. The
Brig was a tough place, with no heat, and ASAKA let
most of them out in the cold weather on recommendation of Doctor Golenternek before their sentences had
expired.

"I considered ASAKA very lenient in punishment. Men sold in the Mine Jannese Army blankets, shoes, and other articles issued them by the Army and Mine people. In most cases, they were reissued new clothes, and nothing much was done about it.

"However, he required a rigid military etiquette - these prisoners refused, almost to a man to
cooperate - and his guards slapped people around
plenty, but with no brutal beatings. However, some
of the civilian 'Guides' were very brutal at the Mine,
out of sight of ASAKA, who always stepped in to stop
such practices in my opinion, as far as I could see.
Sergeant Ralph Pope, Engineers, Army, had his arm

1 2

broken by a 'Guide' known as 'The Rat'. Whether an official report was ever made to ASAKA I do not know.

"After Lieutenant Colonel Walker, Aviation, took over as Senior Officer Prisoner, he threatened to report men to ASAKA, and did so for theft. One Technical Sergeant Lebeau went into the Brig, with the hearty approval of all of us, for confirmed and repeated thieveries, around the end of March, and stayed there, except for occasional hospital treatment until nearly July, 1945. Colonel Walker recommended him to ASAKA for such punishment, and told us he had done so, for Lebeau rated it.

"The only beatings I ever saw the 'Cyclops' hand out were at the beginning of cold weather, when he ordered men to be fully clothed outside barracks, as a health measure. They blithely ignored his orders; one afternoon he laid for them, and slapped those he caught out of uniform. On one other occasion, marching us around for 'Bango' dismissal as Senior Noncommissioned Present, we refused, in our hatred and stubbornness to do it with snap and precision, and several men were lightly slapped.

"On the whole, 'Cyclops' was our friend. He gave the sick meager gifts, for his pay was very little, and got that hospital going. They relieved him around

\*

late March, and his going was regretted. His successor was a nondescript 'buck passer', who did little for
or against the prisoners. 'Cyclops' even thought
enough of his former patients to come back and pay
them a visit at his own expense later on in the summer,
and this should be credited him as a good mark.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"First Sergeant Jack Boyd, Army Infantry, having been informed by Captain Fleming, who got it from ASAKA, that all prisoners were to be executed in the event of a major landing on any one of the four home islands, and several other prisoners, stole dynamite, caps and fuses from the Mine in order to make a last ditch defense when the shooting started. There must have been forty pounds secreted under the floors of the barracks. Around the end of April, as we came in from the Mine, we heard it had been discovered, and there would be an investigation. In the Philippines we would have been shot first, and investigated later. At evening 'Bango' ASAKA, who spoke English, but never condescended to use it to the prisoners. addressed us through the Camp Interpreter. relief, he said no one would be punished, but the next time there would be a court martial, and probable death penalty.

"The prisoner rations were entirely separate

from those of the Guards, though cooked in the same and galley. Captain Fleming, and later, Colonel Walker, supervised the distribution. These officers tried their best to make a fair distribution, but the man stole from the food supply, to eat themselves, and sell the rest for tobacco.

"From what I personally observed, the Guards had about half as much more to eat as we did, and the Mine civilians had about the same amount, or possibly a little more, than us. What ASAKA, TAKAHASHI (First Sergeant and Second in Command), SANHAI (Police, Quartermaster and Mess Sergeant), and 'Cyclops' had to eat I cannot say, but I saw this much - they lost weight, day by day, and I make a guess that the loss averaged twenty pounds per man. It would have been very easy for them to have eaten all they wanted, and I credit them for their honesty in this matter.

"Christmas came, with Red Cross packages, four twelve-pound boxes per man. To us these were the rarest of delicacies. We counted those precious packages as they were unloaded at the railroad station, we knew exactly how many there were. I am positive that outside of some thefts by the prisoners, no Japanese ever stole any of them. We bitterly hated ASAKA because he tantalized us by issuing them in

increments - maybe Doctor Golenternek was back of that but this was the best thing for all of us, starved as we were.

"The Japanese Guards would have given anything for those packages, and it was a source of great trouble and worry to ASAKA to keep those packages properly guarded.

"ASAKA began to short us on the rations, to build up a storeroom. The impression we got from the Japanese was the war was to be a ten year one, of attrition, and we had better save food for next year. The Army, suffering from the blockade, might not have enough for us. He prudently built up quite a lot. After surrender, before the planes dropped us 'K-rations' he gave us all we could eat, and more besides.

"Once, with Captain Fleming, TAKAHASHI was talking about the general treatment of prisoners, and I heard him say, 'Oh, you cannot kill these prisoners. The Commandant (ASAKA) is responsible for their lives and well being.'

"All in all, from personal observation, I considered ASAKA hard and strict, a true professional soldier, who took care to safeguard lives and health. He had little food and medicines to do it with, and condoned repeated thieveries from the Nine of fuel,

trading with the civilians, and violations of Army orders.

"There were periodic inspections by the high command from Tokyo of the prisoners. Soldier-like, ASAKA had the camp cleaned up, and prisoners dressed their best, just like inspections in our own Army. However, as far as I know, no prisoner was permitted to interview the inspecting officers and state grievances.

"In this particular camp, only eight men died.
One was the result of a mine cave-in, Private Werner,
U.S. Marine Corps; one was tuberculosis, First Sergeant McCarthy, of the Army, and I think the rest were
plain rneumonia, aggravated by general malnutrition
and despondent heartbreak. Out of five hundred and
sixty men, for we had received an increase of British
prisoners, this seems to be pretty good, from what I
have heard of other camps. There was positively no
sadistic tortures or aggravated brutality, such as I
saw in the Philippines. Outside of the Brig, and the
slappings from the Guards, there was not much to complain of in the way of punishments.

"This 11th day of August, 1947."

. 3

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Freeman, why was Mr. Jackson not brought here? 2 MR. FREEMAN: He is in California, if the . 3 Court please; and while it would have been possible, I brought the affidavit to the prosecution and asked if they required cross-examination, and they didn't want to cross-examine. THE PRESIDENT: Apparently he has quite 8 another story about the treatment of prisoners of war in the Philippines, but we cannot pass on the merits 10 of that. We have not heard that story. 11 12 MR. FREEMAN: If the Tribunal please, I 13 next offer in evidence defense document 2076, which 14 is a telegraphic report relative to the treatment of 15 prisoners of war. This was passed over yesterday. 16 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 17 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2076 18 will receive exhibit No. 3138. 19 (Whereupon, the document above referred 20 to was marked defense exhibit 3138 and received in 21

evidence.)

MR. FREEMAN: I read into evidence exhibit
3138:

22

23

24

25

"PRESS INTERCROIXROUGE GENEVA
"CAMPREPORT 2129 CONTINUATION 2128 INTERVIEWED

PRESENCE CAMPCOMMAND USARMY MAJOR FE FELLOWS BRACKET SEE HURYOJOHOKYOKU AM/39 UNBRACKET SENIOROFFICER POW REPRESENTATIVE FOR LAST TWO YEARS QUOTE SITUA-TION AS GOOD AS THEY CAN EXPECT COMFORTABLY WELL HOUSED FED AND CLOTHED MEDICINES SUFFICIENT CURRENT-NEEDS BUT ANXIOUS KNOWING WHETHER FURTHER REDCROSS SUPPLIES AVAILABLE GOT THROUGH LAST WINTER VERY NICELY ONLY ONE DEATH WERE KEPT WARM ALL IRCC RELIEF TURNED OVER TO HIM FOR DISTRIBUTION HEALTH CONDITIONS CONSIDERABLY IMPROVED SINCE LAST YEAR NO SERIOUS ILL-NESS NOBODY ON DOWNGRADE MEN BECOMING ACCLIMATIZED WEIGHTS IMPROVED OVERALL WEIGHT INCREASE HALFKILO HOWEVER FIVE TO SIXKILOS FOR FIRST ARRIVALS NEW SHOES PROBLEM AS ALMOST IMPOSSIBLE GETTING LARGE ENOUGH SIZES REMAINING CLOTHING ISSUED REPAIRMATERIAL ADE-QUATE FOOD GOOD FRESH VEGETABLESUPPLY BASICRATIONS KEPT UNTO SPECIFICATION RECREATION LIMITED BY TWO FACTORS PIRSTLY AVAILABLE TIME AFTER WORK SECONDLY SPACE WHICH INSUFFICIENT FOR GAMES SUCHAS BASEBALL HAVE GOOD ORCHESTRA BUT COULD STAND ANOTHER GRAMOPHONE LIBRARY RECEIVED ONLY ONE SHIPMENT DURING LAST FOUR-TEEN MONTHS OF LESS THAN FIFTY VOLUMES SHOULD LIKE SEEING MORE BOOKS GREAT TIMELAG IN COMMUNICATING WITH FAMILIES TRANSITTIME APPROXIMATELY ONEYEAR EITHER WAY WROTE MIDDLE OCTOBER RECEIVED ONLY ONE SHIPMENT

DURING LAST FOURTEEN MONTHS OF LESS THAN FIFTY VOLUMES SHOULD LIKE SEEING MORE BOOKS GREAT TIMELAG IN COMMUNICATING WITH FAMILIES TRANSITTIME APPROXIMATELY ONEYEAR EITHER WAY WROTE MIDDLE OCTOBER FORTYTHREE RECEIVED ANSWER MAY FORTYFIVE WHEREAS ALREADY HADOZ REPLY TO RADIOGRAM DISPATCHED SEPTEMBER FORTYFOUR GAF MAIL COULD BE SPEEDED UP WOULD MEAN BIG LOAD OFF MENS MIND RECEIVED AMCROSS BULLETIN FIFTEEN MONTHS AGD<sup>21</sup> WHICH GREATLY APPRECIATED AS MEN LIKE HEARING THIRGS OF LOCAL INTEREST NONE SINCE BRACKET COPIES OF AMCROSSNEWS NUMBER THREE FOUR SEVEN READY FOR DISPATCH AT TOKYOMAINCAMP UNBRACKET RECEIVED COMMUNICATIONS FROM USGOVERNMENT CONCERNING FAMILY MAINTENANCE AND INSURANCE NOTHING SIMILAR FROM CANADIAN BRITISH NETHERLANDS GOVERNMENTS ALTHOUGH RELATIVE POW KEENLY INTERESTED ESPECIALLY REGARDING ALLOWANCES PAID FAMILIES SHOULD APPRECIATE ANY SUCH INFORMATION WE MAY HAVE OR RECEIVE FOR PUBLICATION TO MEN AS CAMPCOPMAND WOULD HAND IT OVER TO HIM PROMPTLY NOTHING BEING KEPT BACK."

G

THE PRESIDENT: Do you know what camp this refers to, Mr. Freeman?

MR. FREEMAN: I am advised it is a camp in the Tokyo area. They are referred to there by number.

THE PRESIDENT: It refers to the Tokyo main camp, but it does not say what camp it is.

MR. FREEMAN: I understand they are branch camps, and those numbers refer to them. I will be glad to ascertain the camp name and report it back.

I next offer in evidence defense document 2296 and 2296-1 which is a series of letters of appreciation to the POW Camp Commanders in Japan.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

MR. FREEMAN: That includes document 2296 and 2296-1 which should have been the same. 2296-1 was separated from 2296, but they are all letters from the same source and under the same certificate.

THE PRESIDENT: They will be marked as one exhibit.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2296 and 2296-1 will receive exhibit No. 3139.

(Whereupon, the documents above referred to were marked defense exhibit No. 3139 and received in evidence.)

MR. FREEMAN: I read into evidence exhibit

3139:

"Saturday the 25th August 1945.

"To: 1st Lieut. Hiraishi, Hiroki, Camp Commander, No. 21 Prisoner of War Camp Fukuoka.

"Sir: It is my privilege as Commander of the British and Australian troops, at present here under your protection, to convey the appreciation of all ranks for the concern which you have shown for, and the efforts which you have made to relieve the monotony of our short stay here.

"Since our arrival here on the 1st June
1945 we have realized something of the increasing
difficulties under which you have performed your
duties; but the tolerance of your Command in the past
and your immediate consent to my request for organized
excursions out of the Camp, has earned for you the profound respect of all ranks.

"We hope for your continued co-operation and look forward to the progressive improvement of our relation during the rest of our stay here.

"A. S. Divies, Warrant Officer, in charge of British and Australian Troops.

"Camp Commandant, No. 1 Prisoner of War Camp,

Fukuoka.

1 2

4

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

21

22

23

"Sir: It is the desire of the under-signed British Warrant Officers to express our gratitude for the gentlemanly manner in which you have conducted the affairs of this Camp since taking over the role of Commandant.

"The policy which you adopted has, in our opinion, saved many lives and eased so much of the misery we all experienced in the past.

"To extend on behalf of the British soldiers in this camp our heartfelt thanks and pray good health and happiness to you and yours in the days to come.

"R. While,

"F. Smith.

"No. 1 Prisoner of War Camp, Fukuoka, 31st August 1945.

"To whom it may concern:

"This will certify that Mr. Tsukasa,

Furusho, commander of Fukuoka Prison Camp No. 23,

is deserving of any consideration possible due to

his fair and considerate treatment of the American

War prisoners under his control.

"We consider him a loyal Japanese subject and a true officer of the Imperial Army. He has always conducted himself as we would like to conduct ourselves under the same circumstances.

7

6

1

3

4

9

10

11

13

14 15

16

17

19

20

22

23

24

"Frank M. Turner,
Captain, U. S. Army.
"Thomas W. Taggart,

Captain, U. S. Army.

"Please convey our best wishes to Mr.

Eriwitchi and other members of the staff with whom
we have come in contact and trust that only the
better times shall remain in our memories, and that
henceforth peace shall always be maintained between
your country and ours.

"Would you please convey a message of heartfilt gratitude to Mr. Nagasawa; from Pte. Ross (No.
289) for the timely and utmost assistance when he
received the injury to his leg at work recently.

"Lishing you all peace and happiness for the future, on behalf of the members of No. 2 furnace squad, and in particular,

"Yours Sincerely,
"SGT. H. H. Hallam."

I pass this next letter because there is no signature on it.

Page 6:

"To: The Manager,

Ore Dressing Mill.

Iruka.

"Dear Sir,

"I am writing to thank you on behalf of the men who worked in your mill as prisoners of war, for your thoughtfulness and kindness toward us. Your personal interest in our welfare, and the care you took in instructing us in our individual jobs, employing each man in the capacity in which he was most interested and happy, did much to dispel any gloom from our minds, and made us feel like ordinary working men."

If the Court please, I am informed I should have read page 5 first. They are numbered in reverse order. I ask that that correction be made in the record. I do not intend to go back and read it.

THE PRESIDENT: Leave it as it is now.

MR. FREEMAN: (Reading continued)

"I must also mention your kindness and sympathetic attitude toward anyone who was sick during working hours. All members have expressed the desire

2

1

4

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

. .

17

. .

19

20

21

23

24

to possess a copy of your permanent address, so that
they may communicate with you. If you can let me
have a copy I will ensure that everyone become acquainted with it.

"I must also mertion the workmen who were our instructors, they deserve our thanks, especially, and I hope you will convey this to them, Minami of the 4th Floor, Takino of the vacuum, and Tunada of the 6th Floor stores.

"Goodbye and good luck to you Sir,

"Sincerely Yours

"S. Falcus.

"Iruka

"25th August, 1945"

Page 8:

"TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

"Corporal Jiro IKEDA, Imperial Nipponese
Army, has been on duty as Sergeant Major at this Prisoner of War Camp No. seven (7) from June 2, 1945 to
date during which time I have been Prisoner Commander.

"Corporal Jiro IKEDA has performed his dutiess efficiently and fairly with exceptional courtesy to all Prisoners of War at a time when this was not the normal attitude of our guards.

"I feel personally indebted to Corporal Jiro

1 2

IKEDA for his courtesy to myself and my men. I speak for all one hundred ninety-five Americans here in requesting such courtesy and consideration as you can grant him.

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

24

25

"GUY H. STUBBS Lt. Col. CAC U. S. ARMY"

This document is marked 2296-1:
"Letter of Thanks To the Chief of the POW
Camp, Mukden.

"1. On behalf of all the American prisoners of war here in this camp, I wish to express my gratitude for the efforts made by all the personnel who are engaged in health inspections for the purpose of investigating the causes and the present conditions of our bad health, and thereby, deciding measures for its improvement and cure.

"2. All of us are much impressed by the most painstaking and thorough-going method and attitude taken by these experts. We believe that in spite of the difficulty of their task they will surely achieve satisfactory results.

"3. We have received very kind treatment since we came to this camp. It was beyond our expectation to have such concern shown for our welfare. I

firmly believe that all of us concerned are so grateful that they will never throughout their lives forget this experience. "S. H. Hankins, Major, USA Chief of American Liaison Section POW Camp, Mukden." I do not desire to read the remainder. THE PRESIDENT: Is that the correct date, 8 "17 February, 1947"? 9 10 MR. FREEMAN: That, I understand, is '45. 11 I next offer in evidence defense document 12 2214, which is a list of POW camps inspected by the 13 International Red Cross and others from 1942 to 1945, giving the names of those inspecting the various 15 camps, the date and camp inspected. I do not desire 16 to read any part of this document. 17 THE PRESIDENT: It does not state the re-18 sults of the inspection, does it? 19 MR. FREEMAN: I have read into evidence 20 several reports by Red Cross people who are in this 21 list. 22 THE PRESIDENT: Yes. You do not intend to 23 read this? 24

MR. FREEMAN: No.

THE PRESIDENT: What is the next document?

CLERK OF THE COURT: It has not been marked yet. THE PRESIDENT: Give it a number then. 3 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2214 will receive exhibit No. 3140. (Whereupon, the document above 6 referred to was marked defense exhibit 7 No. 3140 and received in evidence.) 8 9 MR. FREEMAN: 2214 is withdrawn from the 10 order of proof. I next offer in evidence defense document 11 2485 which is an affidavit by Sister Mary Mercedes 13 who was an internee in Japan during the war. 14 2214 was just given an exhibit No. I'm 15 sorry. 16 THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Mornane. 17 LIEUT. COLONEL MORNANE: If the Tribunal 18 please, the prosecution objects to the introduction 19 of this document on the ground that it is irrelevant. 20 THE PRESIDENT: When we see it, we may be 21 able to appreciate your argument. So far, it has not 22 been handed to us. 23 Yes. We will hear your argument now. LIEUT. COLONEL MORNANE: It is the affidavit 25

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

18

19

20

22

24

25

of a lady as to her experiences in civil internment camps in Japan -- in Tokyo. The prosecution has not offered any evidence as to treatment, good or otherwise, in internment camps in Japan -- that is, in civil internment camps. Therefore, I submits that this document is irrelevant.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, Mr. Freeman.

MR. FREEMAN: I will accept that admission.

THE PRESIDENT: You make no allegation about the ill-treatment of persons interned in civil internment camps in Japan?

LIEUT. COLONEL MORNANE: That is so, if the Tribunal pleases.

MR. FREEMAN: If the Tribunal pleases, I still believe this affidavit is relevant under the charge of conspiracy of the accused.

THE PRESIDENT: The conspiracy alleged does not embrace civil internment camps in Japan. That is the prosecution's case. The objection is sustained and the document rejected.

MR. FREEMAN: I next offer in evidence defense document 2141 which is a statement by Father Marella.

LIEUT. COLONEL MORNANE: If the Tribunal pleases, the prosecution also objects to this docu-

ment. Generally, it states that Archbishop Marella
was allowed to visit certain camps in Japan and
certain of his priests were allowed to visit these
camps for the purpose of providing religious consolation. It goes on to say, or he goes on to say they
did not have "either the right or the duty to see to
the observation of international conventions or to
protest in the event of their contravention."

He says nothing as to the conditions of the
camps that he visited, but he does say there were
cases where prisoners praised efforts of overseers
to make their lives less hard. No allegation has been
made that prisoners of war were denied religious consolation in Japan.

THE PRESIDENT: He suggests there were. He suggests that the priests more often presides at funerals than assisted at dying.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE PRESIDENT: And he says that is the Japanese mentality. Anyhow, you are making no allegation even if he does.

LIEUT. COLONEL MORNANE: There is no allegation i the prosecution's case. That is, we haven't proved anything.

Now, specifically, we object, on the second

page, about the middle of the page, commencing "I lived." "I lived a long time in Japan before the war and more or less shared the fate of the Japanese people in the course of the hostilities. By making use of this experience I should like, without seeking to excuse or justify anything, to explain the mentality of the country in so far as it concerns prisoners of war."

Now, pursuing that, he goes on to the last paragraph on the second page: "In order to arrive at an impartial judgment it is necessary to add that the Japanese do not have the idea of a prisoner that a long Christian culture has given us."

Continuing that paragraph to the end of that paragraph and the end of the first paragraph on the third page, also the final paragraph on the third page. We specifically object to those particular paragraphs, the general effect of them being, we say, irrelevant. If, on the other hand, the motives which caused the Japs to act may be relevant, well, then we say that is a matter for the Tribunal, and the Archbishop is not in a position to give these conclusions.

THE PRESIDENT: It assumes the treatment was generally bad, but it was due to the Japanese mental-

ity. How that helps, I do not know. You are contesting nothing he says? There 2 is no issue covering it, is that so? 3 LIEUT. COLONEL MORNANE: With regard to what 4 he says, the facts he states we do not contest at 5 all. 6 THE PRESIDENT: You are contesting the rele-7 vancy, of course. 8 LIEUT. COLONEL MORNANE: Yes. And on the 9 other hand we are contesting the conclusions he draws, 10 but we say there that that is without the scope. 11 MR. FREEMAN: If the Tribunal pleases, I 12 13 would like to be heard before the objection is ruled 14 THE PRESIDENT: I think a majority of the 15 16 Court are prepared to admit it for what it is worth, 17 excluding opinions and the last paragraph. 18 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2141 19 will receive exhibit No. 3141. (Whereupon, the document above

referred to was marked defense exhibit

No. 3141 and received in evidence.)

24 25

20

21

22

1

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I shall read into evidence MR. FREEMAN: 3141 with the exception of the

PRES IDENT: And omitting any opinions. tell you what we do not admit.

MR. FREEMAN (Reading); [Under the instructions of the Holy See, this delegation occupied itself during the war in assuaging the lot of prisoners of war and civil internees in Japan as well as of Japanese civil internees abread.

To this end an information service was organthoody the lists sent by the Japanese Ceneral Staff to the International Red Cross served as a card index. As requests for information arrived by mail or by special broadcasts over the Vatican radio these indexes served to identify the person more accurately and to find his internment camp as well. Requests or family correspondence were then routed through the Foreign Ministry and the Japanese military mail service. There even went out sums of money destined for prisoner of war camps outside Japan, in accordance with the instructions of the Holy See. a sequential the lot of partners of

"Overtures were made to obtain for the Apostolic Delegate authorization to visit prisoner of war camps and to bring to all, irrespective of their

24 25

the Daney meticual Acid Cross served as a care index.

religion, the consolation within his power. International agreements did not recognize this right except on the part of the International Red Cross and representatives of the protecting powers, but permission was nevertheless given. This was a simple favor which did not give me as a matter of course either the right or the duty to see to the observation of international conventions or to protest in the event of their contravention. The object was purely humanitarian: to console the prisoners.

"During the years 1943 and 1944 I visited in this manner some thirty camps in the interior of Japan between Jukuoka and Sendai, accompanied each time by a functionary of the Foreign Ministry to help me on the trip and in my relations with the police and the military authorities. Almost everywhere I was cordially received by the camp authorities who regulated the programme of visits to the best of their instructions.

Usually this commenced with the reading of a report on the general situation of the camp, the number of inhabitants, and health, sanitation, food and clothing conditions. There then followed a quick inspection of the surroundings and an interview in the presence of the officers and an interpreter, of someone or other representing the camps. The other prisoners were then

usually at work.

"Naturally the prisoners could hardly speak openly under these conditions. Nevertheless, there were cases when prisoners praised the efforts made by their overseers to render their life less hard.

"In addition to these visits it would have been nice to distribute provisions and clothing as is done in other countries by the representatives of the Holy See, but everything was severely rationed and it was impossible to obtain anything of this sort. As the most frequently expressed desire of the prisoners was to obtain something to read, a certain number of works, particularly in English, were purchased on the Tokyo market, but only a small number reached the prisoners as far as I can make out. This was not because of the authorities' desire to cause suffering but the consequence of an exaggerated sense of responsibility. No book could be sent to the prisoners without being examined line by line by censors who knew little English, and who were few in number and very busy and who above all could not arrive at an opinion as to whether to pass a book or not.

"Another desire of the prisoners was to get news of their families. They were permitted to write three or four times a year but many letters were lost

3

4

6

9

10

22

18

19

20

21

and replies came rarely. I strongly demanded that they be as generous as possible in the matter of correspondence.

"I lived a long time in Japan before the war and more or less shared the fate of the Japanese people in the course of the hostilities. By making use of this experience I should like, without seeking to excuse or justify anything, to explain the mentality of the country insofar as it concerns prisoners of war. Apart from every atrocity and abuse, the condition of the prisoners in respect of quarters and clothing was entirely that of the common people. The discipline was that of the Japanese army which in certain respects is extremely hard.

"In Japan the standard of living was always much lower than usual, but during the war it dropped extremely still and the people had almost nothing to eat and could buy clothing only with the few clothing coupons allotted to them for one year. They were housed one on top of another and the government provided space of only two yards square per person for Japanese workers in war factories. Such conditions naturally became insupportable and cruel for members of the allied armies without affecting the Japanese to the same extent.

"In order to arrive at an impartial judgment it is necessary to add that the Japanese do not
have the idea of a prisoner that a long Christian
culture has given us. They naturally despised this
class of person and no Japanese soldier was permitted
under any circumstance to allow himself to be captured.
Officers, by the way, used to state that what they were
doing in favor of allied prisoners was absolutely onesided, for they themselves would never have any
prisoners.

The fact of being entirely assimilated by the Japanese and submitted to their customs, often contrary to our own, led to the belief in deliberate humiliations when such was not at all the idea: communal Japanese bath, the practice of working almost naked, etc.

"The wide difference in religion, furthermore, led to the fact that through simple ignorance the special spiritual needs of the prisoner were not taken into account and this was one of the points upon which this Delegation had to insist most in order to persuade the camp authorities that such needs were real and supreme. Certain results were obtained but circumstances often prevented much from being done. As far as Catholic priests were concerned, for example, they were not

permitted access to the camps except in the case of those who were Japanese alone and they were very few, overloaded with work and few among them knew English sufficiently well. Nevertheless they did their best to answer the calls of the camp commanders, but in accordance with the Japanese mentality they were called more often to preside at funerals than to assist the dying."

I next offer for identification the book entitled "The Chrysanthemum and the Sword" by Ruth Benedict, and offer in evidence defense document 2108, being an excerpt therefrom. This book is the result of a study made by the author at the request of the Office of War Information of the United States government.

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Mornane.

comment of my friend, I do not know whether he is attempting to prove that or whether that is purely his own. The prosecution objects to the introduction of this document in evidence. It consists of a book or extracts from a book written in America with assistance, among others, of certain Japanese residing there. It attempts to explain the Japanese conduct throughout the war on the basis of their beliefs and psychology.

If this could be relevant on any basis it would be that the explanation is by some expert. There is no evidence as to what the qualifications of the author are. There is also no evidence that she affirms the truth of the contents of the book. The prosecution contends that the matter is entirely irrelevant.

MR. FREEMAN: If the Tribunal please, on page 1, the first two lines of the second paragraph, "My thanks are also due to the Office of War Information, which gave me the assignment on which I report in this book," I respectfully submit that the Office of War Information, United States Government, would not have selected someone to make this study were they not qualified to make it.

THE PRESIDENT: This is not an official publication though, is it?

MR. FREEMAN: No, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: You see we will not accept Archbishop Marella's opinions although he lived in Japan.

MR. FREEMAN: This is the result of a study at the direction of the Office of War Information and it is being offered primarily to show, among other things, the lack of medical facilities that the Japanese army had during the war, which goes to the point

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

21

22

24

23

25

of medical treatment of the prisoners of war.

THE PRESIDENT: If it were an official publication we probably would admit it. If the United States government had authorized this woman to make an investigation on the spot and ascertain the facts about which she speaks in this publication we might admit it, but it is just as objectionable as Archbishop Marella's opinion. He was on the spot and saw things for himself, made investigations for himself.

MR. FREEMAN: I submit there are facts and figures given in this document which is offered here that are not opinion. It is true there are some opinions.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is sustained and the document rejected.

MR. FREEMAN: If the Tribunal please, with the Court's indulgence I have been asked to offer in evidence as the last document in this subdivision defense document 2244.

THE MONITOR: Mr. Freeman, we do not have this document. Do you have an extra copy?

MR. FREEMAN: If the Tribunal please, I withdraw it and submit it later.

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen

minutes. (Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:) 

.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Freeman.

MR. FREEMAN: If the Tribunal please, I again tender in evidence defense document 2244, which has now been distributed. This document is a record of the court-martial of three POWs. I desire to read no part of it.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2244

will receive exhibit No. 3142.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3142 and received in evidence.)

MR. FREEMAN: If the Tribunal please, this concludes the subdivision relative to POWs and civilian internees.

Mr. Cunningham will now offer certain documents that he has.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: At the end of the presentation of evic nce in the Tripartitite Pact material reservation was made for a few witnesses and documents which were not ready for processing at that time. At this time I would like to present the witness SAITO, whose

, 1

3

5

7

8

10

11

13

15

17

19

21 22

23

24

affid it has been revised and some material deleted, and I presume now the affidavit can be presented without further correction.

YOSHIE SAITO, recalled as a witness on behalf of the defense, having been previously sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

THE PRESIDENT: You are still on your former oath.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. CUNNINGHAM:

Q I ask that the witness be handed defense document 1592.

I ask you to state if that is your affidavit, and if you have signed it and is it true?

A It is my affidavit.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I offer in evidence defense document 1592 and propose to delete certain portions of it, which I will not read.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1592

will receive exhibit No. 3143.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit

No. 3143 and received in evidence.)

MR. CUNNINGHAM: We have conferred on the deletions and agreed on them.

Skipping the formal parts, I read defense document 1592, exhibit 3143:

"Having first duly sworn an oath as on attached sheet and in accordance with the procedure followed in my country I hereby depose as follows:

"I, Yoshie SAITO . . . was born in 1880, and live in Shoto," and so on. "I entered the Foreign Office, was stationed as diplomatic and consular attache in Pekin and Tientsin, China, became Secretary of Embassy in Washington under Ambassador SHIDEHARA, became Director of the Bureau of Commercial Affairs of the Foreign Office; after resigning in 1926, I became a Director of the South Manchurian Railway Co., Ltd., in July 1940, upon formation of the KONOYE Cabinet, at the request of Foreign Minister MATSUOKA I became adviser to the Foreign Office and held that position until I resigned in July 1941.

"1. I was on intimate terms with Mr. MATSU-OKA for 30 years; at the time of the conclusion of the Tripartite Pact among Japan, Germany and Italy, as advisor to the Foreign Office I stayed day and night at the private residence of Foreign Minister

2

1

4

5

8

9

10

11

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

22

23 24

MATSUOKA where the negotiations were taking place, and throughout the entire period I was responsible for the technical side of the negotiations concerning this pact. Due to this, I am comparatively accurately informed regarding the ideals and motives on the Japanese side which led to the conclusion of the pact, and the circumstances concerning the conclusion of the pact."

Skipping paragraph 2 down to where it says:

"... When Mr. MATSUOKA was President of

the South Manchurian Railway Co., and when I was
advisor to the SMR, I once called on him at his villa
at Gotemba concerning company business, he spoke as
follows after finishing the company business:

sentiments and policies are different, and the past ideas of establishing world peace through a centralized and unique organ is a mistake when dealing with such a world. For this, I can think of no better method than that friendly neighboring countries with mutual interests should form a union, then a larger union should be formed among these unions, and among these larger unions peaceful relations should be established, and finally this should be spread through the world. Should I become Foreign Minister, I intend

to realize this idea. However, this will not be easy, and sufficient study must be made of the problems. I would like you to think about it.'

"When I met him again at Gotemba, in the spring of 1937, as I recall Mr. MATSUOKA said:

including Japan, Manchuria, and China and their neighboring countries must be formed. However, unfortunately, this cannot be hoped for considering the present situation of long-standing disputes between Japan and China. So, I, as President of the SMR and as a man with many Chinese friends, am in a good position to take steps to further Sino-Japanese peace as a private individual, and I should like to go to China some day soon and see what can be done. Therefore, I wish to request you to go to China, and make thorough observations on the situation.'

"I had been studying Chinese affairs for many years, and since I considered this my life-work, I went to China in accordance with Mr. MATSUOKA's suggestion."

Now going to page 3-a, paragraph 3:

"3. After Mr. MATSUOKA resigned his post
as President of the SMR, in March 1939, for a time he
lived a leisurely life, and during this period he spoke

4 5

to me from time to time of his opinion concerning inter-states unions as a method of establishing world peace. In July 1940 when he became Foreign Minister he made me an adviser to the Foreign Office." Skipping the next sentence and beginning with: "Mr. MAT-SUOKA decided to conclude the Tripartite Pact in August 1940, when he received a telegram from Ambassador KURUSU saying that Mr. Stahmer had left Germany for Japan."

Now going down to page 6, I believe:

"This English text was written and proposed
personally by Mr. MATSUOKA, and German side did only
accept it."

Now going down to page 10, at the top of the page:

At the top of the page insert "MATSUOKA" after "and."

"... and MATSUOKA one day said to me:

"If Japan and America should ever go to

war, it would be most unfortunate for Japan, and it

would inevitably have the most disastrous results for

Japan. Not only that, but the culture of the human

race would be completely destroyed, and the world

would become darkened. To prevent this is something

that I, as Foreign Minister, cannot forget even in my

dreams.

2

3

4

6

7

"MR. MATSUOKA day and night studied measures concerning this problem. In fact, Mr. MATSUOKA often told me:

high-pressure policy, the problems of Japan, America and Britain, and the Sino-Japanese problem would be naturally easy to solve. Moreover, if this were realized, it might even be possible for Japan to take a step forward, and could alone, or together with the Soviet Union, act as arbitrator in the Anglo-German war. However, since American policy is so high-handed, Japan cannot oppose America single-handed with her own power, so Japan must shake hands with some other strong powers!"

Now going to page 13, I believe it is, beginning with the words, "Mr. Stahmer," on the top of the page, 3rd line:

"Mr. Stahmer told Mr. MATSUOKA at the conclusion of the Tripartite Pact that Germany was prepared to act as intermediary."

Now going down to the middle of the page where it says:

"Mr. MATSUOKA told both Mr. Ott and Mr. Stahmer at the beginning of the negotiations that Japan

16

14

15

17 18

19

20

22

23

considered that the most important mission of the
Tripartite Pact was to establish peace throughout
East Asia, therefore, Japan should not be forced into
the Anglo-German war because of the pact, and a German
guarantee on this point was desirable.

"He demanded that the following two points
be promised:

"(1) Germany would not interfere in the
political questions of East Asia, and Japan would not
interfere in the political questions of Europe:

"(2) The European war should be carried on
by Germany and Italy alone and Japan's aid should not
be sought, on the other hand, the military operations
against China would be carried out by Japan alone and

Germany's aid would not be sought.

"The German side immediately agreed to this. Concerning the policy of improving the relations with the United States and of preventing America from entoring the war, agreement was reached almost in the same procedure. In the summer of 1941, the Soviet-German war broke out, and shortly thereafter Mr. Ott brought Ribbentrop's personal message to Mr. MATSUOKA, which I also saw and read at that time. This was a fairly short note; one sheet typed in German, but its contents were very important. Its point was that Japan should speedily attack the Soviet Union from the rear. Its wording was impolite, and to the point, moreover its contents were in violation of the promises given at the time of the conclusion of the Tripartite Pact. When Mr. MATSUOKA saw it, he was very angry, and utterly declined to give any consideration to the proposal as it was in contravention to the mutual understandings exchanged at the time of the Tripartite Pact. Another thing, after Mr. MATSUOKA had returned from his trip to Germany and the Soviet Union, I asked Mr. MATSUOKA about the information I had received that he had been advised by Hitler and Ribbentrop to attack Singapore. To this Mr. MATSUOKA replied:

"There was such talk. I was Foreign Minister, and not one of the service ministers. So no matter

25

how earnestly this was recommended to me, I was not in a position to assent to the proposal. Moreover, concerning the use of armed force by Japan to further the development of the European war, reservations were made at the time of the conclusion of the Tripartite Pact. Whether Japan should attack Singapore or not was a matter concerning which Germany had notright to say anything. I am absolutely opposed to conquest. As you know, my motto is non-conquest, non-aggression, non-exploitation. I did modify my words. For example, I said that if Japan were to be presented with a chance that came only once in a thousand years, Japan would fight. I also said that if Japan were to fight, the sooner the better. This was only because I wished to prevent further conversation on the same line. However. I did not make any promise with reference to an attack on Singapore, nor did I say anything to commit myself, so you needn't worry.'

"9. At the time of the conclusion of the pact Mr. MATSUOKA said to me:

Japanese-American relations, and may lead to a very dark phenomenon. In half a year, however its darkness will fade, and world peace will be more firmly established. I must pour all my wits and abilities into

3

1

5.

4

7

6

9

10

11

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

2

3

6

. 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

it for this object. I do not even dream of fighting with America, and I must not do so.

"Again Mr. MATSUOKA had often declared in the Diet that the Tripartite Pact was a means to the establishment of world peace."

Then, going down to No. 10 at the bottom of the page:

"10. Since Mr. MATSUOKA was well aware that the conclusion of the Tripartite Pact might greatly irritate American nerves, he paid much attention to this point. He repeatedly persuaded Admiral NOMURA, who was reluctant, to finally accept the post of Ambassador to America, and this was because he considered as I heard from him that the post must be given to a man who would be welcomed in America, and that Admiral NOMURA was the best person. To this Admiral NOMURA he begged that all efforts be made to improve Japanese-American relations. Again, for the same purpose he tried to dispatch Count KABAYAMA, who had many friends in America, to America. He tried to dispatch Mr. Toyohiko KAGAWA, an American-type missionary who was considered to have the best comprehension of America, to the United States. He was very polite in his conversations with Ambassador Grew. One day (the date I have forgotten) after Ambassador Grew had

19

21

22

24

returned, I asked Mr. MATSUOKA how the conversations went on. Mr. MATSUOKA answered:

"'Recently conversation have become somewhat difficult. Although the policy of giving absolute importance to Japanese-American friendship has not changed, at a time like this when the situation is critical, unless a strong attitude is shown, it can have no effect. At the same time, if the other party is angered, it will be disastrous, and to maintain a proper balance is difficult. So I was very careful in choosing my words.'

"At the end of 1940 Mr. Steinhardt, the Americal Ambassador to Russia, passed through Japan on his way to his post, and saw Mr. MATSUOKA. At this meeting, Mr. MATSUOKA spoke at great length of Japan's difficult position, and the necessity of Japanese-American friendship. Later in 1941, when Mr. MATSUOKA went to Europe to visit Russia, Germany and Italy, he repeated similar sentiments to Mr. Steinhardt in Moscow and consulted him on the means to attain Japanese-American friendship. This I learned from Mr. MATSUOKA. Before his journey to Europe, he told me:

"My journey to Europe is, on the surface, in order to visit the Soviet Union, Germany and Italy, but hardly anyone knows that my hidden mission is to

. .

. 9

3 4

5

7

9

10 11

12

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

23

22

24

adjust relations with Soviet and America.' At this time, he had already prepared and kept hidden a draft of the Japanese-Soviet Neutrality Treaty, and was prepared for negotiations with America. On his departure he said:

"I intend to cut as short as possible my sojourn in Europe and then go to America. I intend to indicate Japan's actual situation and a concrete draft of the basis of a Japanese-American understanding, and by negotiating directly with the Secretary of State to endeavour to improve Japanese-American relations.'

"On another occasion he said:

"It is regrettable that American sentiment towards Japan has grown greatly tense owing to the Tripartite Pact. However, this I anticipated at the time of the conclusion of the pact. But this situation must not be neglected. I must go to America at any cost, and after directly bearing from the authorities America's real intentions, I must take appropriate measures.'

"So I spoke of my opinion and said:

"Since I believe that the tension of American sentiment toward Japan is due to the Tripartite Pact and the Chinese question, if a Japanese-American understanding is to be made possible, something must be done about the Tripartite Pact. The entire

withdrawal of troops from China must also be considered.

If these two things are possible, I think the other

questions are secondary.

"To this Mr. MATSUOKA replied:

"I agree entirely. As you well know, the Tripartite Pact is not for the purpose of waging war. If such a situation should be created that Japan might be forced into war, the way of thinking concerning the Tripartite Pact must be fundamentally changed. If a pact aimed at preserving Japan should become a pact leading to the destruction of Japan, drastic measures will have to be taken against the pact. Concerning the whole-scale withdrawal from China, if one were to advocate that at the present moment, it would not pass in Japan. In any case, I must first of all directly negotiate with the American authorities.'

"Then I asked:

"'Have you confidence in your ability to influence America if you were to go there?'

"Mr. MATSUOKA answered:

"I believe so. Americans do not indulge in intrigues nor in plots. They are fair and do not hamper themselves with what has passed or with barren logic. The reason why American diplomacy has always been so clear, is because of this. If I were to go

3

5

7 8

9

11

13

14

16

18 19

20

21

23 24

-25

and adequately explain Japan's true intentions, and demonstrate Japan's sincerity, although it may be difficult to alter American opinion at one stroke, I do not think it impossible. For this, Japan must necessarily make great concessions, and I have prepared my own draft.'

"At that time in America, Mr. MATSUOKA was looked on in an extremely unfavorable light, and in my opinion I thought it might be better if someone else were to go, but Mr. MATSUOKA was confident that if he were to go himself there was hope that Japanese-American negotiations would be successful. Mr. MATSUOKA was cared for by an American missionary and spent his early years in America so he was well acquainted with American circumstances and American sentiments. In fact, when he returned from his European journey in May 1941, he said he would go in spite of the fact that his lungs were already in a bad condition and repeatedly took counsel with Premier KONOYE. Premier KONOYE was opposed to it, and Mr. MATSUOKA's trip to America was not realized. Soon, the third KONOYE Cabinet commonly said to be a reshuffle to eliminate MATSUOKA came into existence; attitude of the Japanese Government at the time of the third KONOYE Cabinet towards the Tripartite Pact became cool. It was

rumored that Foreign Minister TOYODA said that Japan did not exist for the Tripartite Pact, but that the Tripartite Pact existed for Japan. When Mr. MATSUOKA heard of this he said that it was possible that things would go so far as the abrogation of the pact.

"Further he said:

"There are many instances of abrogations of newly-concluded treaties of abrogations during the effective period of treaties. I should like to know on what grounds in international law this can be justified. When I visited Mr. MATSUOKA, who was confined to bed, as soon as I learned of the outbreak of war between America and Japan on 8 December 1941, he said: 'So it finally ended in war.'

"Then for a short time he maintained a sorrowful silence, and continued:

"'If I had remained, I should have made all efforts to avoid war.' In September 1940 when Mr. MATSUOKA resolved to conclude the Tripartite Pact he said to me:

"'I am neither pro-Anglo-American nor proItalo-German. I am pro-Japanese, and believe in world
peace. The Tripartite Pact, the policy of SovietJapanese rapprochement and the Japanese-American
problem, all these were because I wished to bring about

world peace, and because I thought about Japan. If
Japan combines with Germany and Italy at this time,
the public may say that I am pro-German. In foreign
countries they may say that I support aggression. No
matter what they may say, I do not care. However, I
am absolutely against conquest. Not only am I opposed
to Japanese conquest, but I am also opposed to conquest
by other countries. If the Tripartite Pact should be
used as a tool of aggression, such a pact must not be
allowed to exist.'

separate from the negotiations among the three powers which took place before Mr. MATSUOKA's time, and was not a continuation of the former negotiations, which took place from the summer of 1938 to about August 1939 between Japan, Germany and Italy. As was informed to the American Government through the Japanese Ambassador in Washington at the end of August 1939, the former negotiations were absolutely dropped and have no connections with the Tripartite Pact of 1940.

Mr. MATSUOKA was a man with considerable self-confidence, Mr. MATSUOKA was a aenior member of the Foreign Ministry and the four or five foreign ministers preceding him were his juniors or were absolute amateurs. So

Mr. MATSUOKA did not think much of the men who preceded

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

20

21

22

23

24

25

him. Mr. MATSUOKA often told me as well as other people, that the Japanese diplomacy to date was utterly incompetent, and that fundamental reforms would have to be carried out. So when he became Foreign Minister, he immediately dismissed a large number of higher diplomats including ambassadors and ministers. Although this move was severely criticized by the public (some foreign papers called this mass dismissal a cleanout of the pro-Anglo-American school, but that was absolutely not so; many people of pro-German tendencies were also dismissed), this measure was taken as an unavoidable step to reform diplomacy. Since that was his character, he disliked being bothered with events in the past concerning important diplomatic questions. So he never looked at the Foreign Office records concerning the former negotiations between the three powers, nor did he order his subordinates to study them. At times, some persons spoke of the past negotiations, but he did not listen to them, and said that it belonged to the past and had no bearing on his diplomacy. In this manner, when Mr. Stahmer arrived in Tokyo in the autumn of 1940, at a meeting of the three persons Mr. Stahmer, Mr. Ott and Mr. MATSUOKA, Mr. MATSUOKA presented them with his own draft and the pact was concluded.

2

3

4

' 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

To the state of th

"One of the reasons why Mr. MATSUOKA conducted diplomacy on his own in the second KONOYE Cabinet is due to the following circumstances: In 1940, when Prince KONOYE was entrusted with the forming of the cabinet, immediately preceeding the formation, a meeting known as the Big Four Conference was held for two or three days, with KONOYE, TOJO, Navy Minister YOSHIDA and MATSUOKA taking part at Prince KONOYE's private residence. At this conference Mr. MATSUOKA stressed that if he were to become Foreign Minister all diplomatic questions would be left to him. If other Ministers were to interfere he would not be able to accept the post. The other three leaders agreed to this. This fact was told to me by Mr. MATSUOKA, and ever since, diplomacy was carried on solely by Mr. MATSUOKA. These circumstances continued at least until about the time of the conclusion of the Tripartite Pact. One day at that time I saw Prince KONOYE in the Japanese room at the Premier's official residence on official business at the order of Mr. MATSUOKA, and I remember that Prince KONOYE complained about Mr. MATSUOKA's arbitrary actions.

"/s/ SAITO."

You may cross-examine the witness.

SAITO 27,982

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: If it please the Tribunal, the prosecution views this affidavit as being of a very general character, and considerable evidence, in fact, hundreds of pages of evidence, of the prosecution relates to these matters. Due to the great volume of prosecution evidence that does relate to it, we have decided not to attempt to cite it specifically.

We do not desire to cross-examine.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I might suggest in response that I refer the Tribunal to pages 6345 and 6391 of the transcript of the record, which evidence is in support of the contentions claimed by this witness, and I refer to exhibits 551 to 554, inclusive.

I ask that the witness be excused on the usual terms.

THE PRESIDENT: He is excused accordingly.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I offer in evidence defense document 1936, the affidavit of MATSUMOTO Shunichi.

I understand there will be no cross-examination of the witness and therefore he hasn't been called.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1936

1.1

16.

will receive exhibit No. 3144.

(Whereupon, the document above ref rred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3144 and received in evidence.)

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I now offer in evidence defense document 1936, exhibit 3144, and offer to read the same into the record, skipping the formal parts:

"I, MATSUMOTO, Shunichi, state under oath as follows:

"1. I was born in 1897. My present address is Yokigaya-machi, Ota-ku, Tokyo-to.

"I entered the Foreign Office in 1921 after graduating from the Tokyo Imperial University, Faculty of Jurisprudence. I was Director of the Treaty Department of the Foreign Office from September 1940 until November 1942.

"2. In September 1940 I was recalled from my post as the Councillor of the Japanese Embassy in China (Nanking) to Tokyo and appointed the Director of the Treaty Department of the Foreign Office. I was told by Foreign Minister MATSUOKA about matters concerning the Japanese-German negotiations for the Tripartite Pact and was ordered by him to participate therein. At that time a draft of the Pact had already been

1.7

drawn up on the basis of conversations of the Foreign Minister with Mr. Stahmer and Ambassador Ott. I participated thereafter until the conclusion of the Pact on 27 September 1940 in the technical redaction of the details, etc. As far as I know, the negotiations for the Tripartite Pact were conducted on the Japanese side almost by Foreign Minister MATSUOKA alone, and Mr. SAITO, Ryoei, the Advisor to the Foreign Minister, and I advised him on technical matters.

"2. Defense document No. 1656 -- which we offer for identification.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1656, being a book entitled, "Outline of Treaties and Proceedings between Japan, Italy, and Germany," will receive exhibit No. 3145 for identification only.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3145 for identification.)

MR. CUNNINGHAM (Reading continued): " -- which is entitled 'Outline of the Process of Drawing up of Various Drafts and of the Internal Procedure Pertaining to the Tripartite Pact of Japan-Germany-Italy,' was compiled by me immediately after the conclusion of the pact with the purpose of preventing the scattering and loss of pertinent documents and of preserving them

1.2

1.7

21-

as official records. A limited number thereof was printed in October 1940 as an official record of the Foreign Office. "The description at the top of '1) Outline 4 of the Process of Drawing up of Various Drafts of the Tripartite Pact of Japan-Germany-Italy and Pertaining Documents' was written by myself with the purpose of explaining the process of the drafting of various 8 documents and their relation to each others. "On this 28th day of July, 1947. 10 "/s/ MATSUMOTO." 11 12 I now offer in evidence defense document 13 1656-A, which is a number of excerpts from defense 14 document 1656. 15 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 16 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1656-A 17 will receive exhibit No. 3145-A. 18 (Whereupon, the document above 19 referred to was marked defense exhibit 20 No. 3145-A and received in evidence.) 21 MR. CUNNINGHAM: I propose to read the per-22 tinent parts or the parts which have been changed. I 23 will note them as I go along. 24 First page, cover page: 25

"Outline of the Process of Drafting Various

Drafts and of the Internal Procedure Pertaining to the Tripartite Pact of Japan, Germany and Italy.

"Treaty Department, Foreign Office."
Skipping to the top paragraph:

"1. On 5 September 1940 a plan an Annex No.

1 was drafted by Vice Foreign Minister OHASHI, the

Advisors SHIRATORI and SAITO, and presented by Foreign

Minister MATSUOKA to the Four-Minister Conference for

consideration.

"2. Prior to that, Minister Stahmer, who was specially dispatched by German Foreign Minister Ribbentrop, left Berlin on 23 August, and via Moscow arrived in Tokyo on 7 September. On 9 September he, together with Ambassador Ott, visited Minister MATSUOKA at the latter's private residence for a conference, which renewed on the following day, the 10th. The record taken at that time is attached hereto as Annex No. 2.

"3. This result was reported by the German side to Foreign Minister Ribbentrop, who sent a counter-proposal (Annex No. 3) by telegram. This was handed at 8:30 p.m. on 14 September by Ambassador Ott and Minister Stahmer to Minister MATSUOKA. This draft differed from the original one insofar as it inserted in Paragraph III a phrase 'openly or in a concealed

form.' (We requested this phrase be stricken out,)
and added the Paragraph V (concerning Soviet Russia.)
(This draft was made the basic subject of discussion
on the Extraordinary Cabinet Meeting of 16 September
and on the Imperial Conference of 19 September.)

"4. On the basis of this draft of Foreign
Minist Ribbentrop we drafted a pact; besides, we
summarized the result of the conversations with Ott
and Stahmer into a Secret Protocol and two notes to
be exchanged (one concerns the question of German and
Italian collaboration in case of a Japanese-British
conflict, and the other concerns the South Sea Islands
under the Mandate.) These were handed on 19 September
by Advisor SAITO to the German Ambassador (Annex No. 4)

into Annex No. 5 after taking German wishes into consideration. (Paragraph VI of the draft of the Protocol was inserted upon German request, and the end of the note concerning the Japanese-British conflict was revised.)

"6. On 21 September the German side presented a draft of a pact as Annex No. 6 in accordance with the instruction from the home Government (Foreign Minister Ribbentrop left Berlin on 18 and conferred with Prime Minister Mussolini and Foreign Minister

7 8

Ciano on 19th and 20th concerning this matter. assumed therefore that this instruction came from Rome.) As the explanation for revising the Paragraph III, an excerpt from the instruction as Annex No. 7 was attached. This draft was revised into Annex No. 8 on the conference of Minister MATSUOKA (MATSUMOTO attending,) Ott and Stahmer. ((1) The words of 'declaration of war' was deleted because of, among others, strong opposition of the Navy, and (2) provisions concerning the Mixed Commission were broadened so as to enable the establishment of an Economic Commission.) In addition, the German side requested to drop the Protocol and other notes because they were one-sided in the present form, and it was difficult and time-consuming to perfect them in order to get the Italian consent. Thereupon, we proposed to convert the content of the Secret Protocol into a note to be exchanged only between Japan and Germany, to exchange the note concerning the Japanese-British conflict also only between Japan and Germany, and to formulate the note concerning the Mandate so as to confirm an oral statement of the German Ambassador. As a result thereof we made a draft as Annex No. 9 and sent it to the German Embassy.

"7. During the conference begun at five o'clock of that evening (Minister MATSUOKA, Chief of

24 25

1

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Department NATSUMOTO, Ott and Stahmer) the Pact was decided as Annex No. 10; as to the note concerning the Japanese-British conflict it was decided upon German request to make it a letter and number it as Annex No. 11; concerning the Mandate it was decided as Annex No. 12 (Minister MATSUOKA left the conference and was substituted by Advisor SAITO.) As to the draft of the note containing the content of the Secret Protocol the German side did not agree, and Ambassador Ott himself dictated to the Chief of Department MATSUMOTO a draft of a letter of Ott as Annex No. 13 and requested that it be studied. On the same day Stahmer had told to Advisor SHIRATORI that the German side wished to sign this Pact in Berlin. Minister MATSUOKA therefore touched the question of the place of signing during this conference, and said that if the place of signing should be Berlin, it would be an idea to make the exchange of letters between the Minister himself and Ambassador Ott.

"8. In the afternoon of 23 September, the Chief of Treaty Department MATSUMOTO handed upon instruction of the Foreign Minister a document as Annex No. 14 to Ott and Stahmer at the German Embassy, and requested that it be sent to Berlin by telegram. On the following day, the 24th Councillor Boltze of

3

2

6

5

8

9

11

12

14

15

16

18

19

21

22

23

the German Embassy visited MATSUMOTO and said concerning Annex No. 14 that the following telegraphic instruction was received: As to the item (1), the German Government sincerely hopes to sign in Berlin; as to the item (2), it was being considered by the German and Italian Governments; as to the item (3), it could not be accepted because it would take time to make the letter perfect as its content was one-sided.

"9. At five o'clock in the evening of 24 September Minister MATSUOKA (MATSUMOTO attending) met Ott and Stahmer. First, the Pact was decided as Annex No. 15. Next, the letter concerning the Japanese-British conflict was decided as Annex No. 16, and the letter concerning the Mandate was decided as Annex No. 17, after substituting 'in a way' for 'adequately' (the German side explained that the compensation was a matter of principle and can in fact be only nominal, and that, for instance, 6 sacks of coffee would be sufficient.) As to the letter originating from the draft of a Secret Protocol Minister MATSUOKA presented a draft as Annex No. 18; the German side thereupon presented a revised draft of Annex No. 13; after combinating the both proposals a document as Annex No. 19 was drafted, which was sent to the German Embassy on the following 25th and made final.

23 24

3

6

10

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

"(c) About two weeks afterwards Japanese, German and the Italian texts will be secretly substituted for the English text and signed.

"(d) The Letter of Credence for Ambassador KURUSU will be deposited with the German Embassy in Tekyo, and that fact will be telegraphed from the Ambassador to the German Foreign Office (concerning this point the Chief of Treaty Department said that, because in case of a treaty as this without ratification clause no formal Letter of Credence would be issued, the Foreign Minister would inform the German Ambassador in Tokyo

24

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

that the Imperial Sanction was given for Ambassador KURUSU to conclude the Pact. Councillor Boltze answered that that would be sufficient).

"(5) If possible, to sign the Pact on Thursday (MATSUMOTO said that that was practically impossible).

Besides, the Ambassador desires that the draft of the Premier Minister's statement be cabled to Ambassador KURUSU and presented to the German Foreign Minister for consultation, and that the three letters be absolutely not referred to when Minister MATSUOKA should see the Italian Ambassador (it was agreed in addition that of the three letters those from the Minister to the Ambassador should be in Japanese with English translation, and those from the Ambassador to the Minister should be in German with English translation).

"11. The Italian Ambassador in Tokyo, Indelli, visited Minister MATSUOKA at 11:30 a.m. of 25 September and stated formally that the Italian Government agreed to this Pact.

"12. In the afternoon of that day MATSUMOTO visited Minister Stahmer at the German Embassy and stated that the signing would be possible on 27th as it was decided to present the draft of the Pact to the Privy Council on 26th."

I want to refer at that point to the prosecution

exhibit No. 553, which is the action of the Privy Council on that. (Reading continued): "After consultation it was agreed temporarily 3 to sign the English text at noon of 27th (Berlin time), and to telegraph this agreement to Berlin. "13. According to a telephone call from the 6 German Embassy the signing took place at 1:15 p.m., 7. instead of at noon, Berlin time (at 7:00 p.m. Tokyo time), as it had been agreed, because of a delay of the aeroplane of the Italian Foreign Minister. 10 "14. After the signing at 9:30 olclock of that 11 evening MATSUMOTO carried out the exchange of the three 12 letters with Ambassador Ott (Stahmer attending) at the 13 14 German Embassy (Annex No. 20)." 15 16 17 18 19 20 23 24 25

Now, Annex No. 1 will not be read. already in evidence as prosecution's exhibit No. 541, transcript pages 6037, 6321.

Annex No. 2 will be read:

"On the tenth of September 1940 the Foreign Minister conferred at his private residence with Minister Stahmer and the German Ambassador in Japan, and after exchanging questions and answers in continuance of the previous day, read the private and tentative plan of the Foreign Minister as of Appendix A, explaining that it was a summary of statement by the German side on the first conference of the 9th of September, and that it would be binding for nobody other than the Foreign Minister as an individual. He handed then at the same time a copy of the above and requested that it be studied. The two visited again the Foreign Minister at his private residence on the 11th of September (10:15-10:45 hours), presented a counterproposal as of Appendix B, and explained the content saying that only Paragraph III was different from the private plan of the Foreign Minister. They added further that the counter-proposal was a private plan of them only and was not binding for the German Government."

Annex No. 2:

"TENTATIVE FORMULA

2

3

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

"I. Japan to recognize and respect the leadership of Germany and Italy in the establishment of a new order in Europe. 3

"II. Germany and Italy to recognize and respect the leadership of Japan in the establishment of a new order in Greater East Asia.

"III. Japan, Germany and Italy to agree mutually to cooperate in their efforts on aforesaid lines and to consult with one another as to the suitable and effective means to remove and overcome any and all obstacles and hindrances to the achievement of their respective aims.

Japan, Germany and Italy to agree to pull together and coordinate their endeavours with a view to establishing a new world order to meet the changed and changing world conditions, which alone can be a just and durable foundation for peace."

Annex No. 2, Appendix B:

"TENTATIVE FORMULA.

"I. Japan to recognize and respect the leadership of Germany and Italy in the establishment of a new order in Europe.

"II. Germany and Italy to recognize and respect the leadership of Japan in the establishment of a new order in Greater East Asia.

"III. Japan, Germany and Italy to agree mutually

21 22

2

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

23

to cooperate and to consult in their efforts on aforesaid lines and to assist one another with all political,
economical and military means when one of the three powers
concerned will be attacked by a power not included in
the present European war or the Japanese-Chinese conflict.

"IV. Japan, Germany and Italy to agree to pull together and coordinate their endeavours with a view to establishing a new world order to meet the changed and changing world conditions which alone can be a just and durable foundation for peace."

Now, we skip Annex 2,.No. 2, which is in evidence in prosecution's exhibit No. 549, transcript pages 6323, 6327.

Now, Annex No. 3. I believe your Honors' is marked the same as mine, so unless there is some discrepancy discovered, I will --

(Reading continued):

"FORMULA OF THE GERMAN FOREIGN MINISTER

"I. Japan recognises and respects the leadership of Germany and Italy in the establishment of a new order in Europe.

"II. Germany and Italy recognize and respect the leadership of Japan in the establishment of a new order in Greater East Asia.

"III. Japan, Germany and Italy agree to cooperate

25

2

3

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

in their efforts on the aforesaid lines. They further undertake to assist one another with all political, economic and military means when one of the three powers concerned will either openly or in concealed form be attacked by a power at present not included in the European war or in the Japanese-Chinese conflict.

"IV. Japan, Germany and Italy agree to cooperate and to coordinate their endeavours with a view to establishing a new world order to meet the changed and changing world conditions, which is alone a just and endurable foundation of peace.

"V. Japan, Germany and Italy agree that the aforesaid terms do not in any way affect the present political status between the three aforementioned powers and Soviet Russia.

"VI. Japan, Germany and Italy will, without delay, conclude a treaty laying down the details of the application of the aforementioned terms."

Annex 4:

"THREE POWERS PACT BETWEEN JAPAN, GERMANY AND

"The Governments of Japan, Germany and Italy, considering it as the condition precedent of any lasting peace that all nations of the world be given each its own proper place, have decided to stand by and cooperate

with one another in regerd to their efforts in Greater
East Asia and the regions of Europe respectively wherein
it is their prime purpose to establish and maintain
a new order of things calculated to promote the mutual
prosperity and welfare of the peoples concerned. - \*
Futhermore, it is the desire of the three Governments
to extend cooperation to such nations in other spheres
of the world as may be inclined to put forth endeavours
along lines similar to their own, in order that their
ultimate aspirations for world peace may thus be realized.
Accordingly the Governments of Japan, Germany and Italy
have agreed as follows:

"I. Japan recognizes and respects the leadership of Germany and Italy in the establishment of a new order in Europe.

"II. Germany and Italy recognize and respect the leadership of Japan in the establishment of a new order in Greater East Asia.

"III. Japan, Germany and Italy agree to cooperate in their efforts on the aforesaid lines. They further undertake to assist one another with all . . . c political, economic and military means when one of the three contracting Powers concerned is attacked (either openly or covertly) by a power at present not involved in the European war or in the Japanese-Chinese conflict.

24

"IV. Japan, Germany and Italy affirm that the aforesaid terms do not in any way affect the political status which exists at present as between each of the three contracting Powers and Soviet Russia.

"V. The present Pact shall come into effect immediately upon signature and shall remain in force for ten years from the date of its coming into force.

"At the proper time before the expiration of the said term the High Contracting Parties shall, at the request of any one of them, enter into negotiations for its renewal.

"In faith whereof, the undersigned, duly authorized by their respective Government, have signed this Pact and have affixed hereto their seals.

"Done in triplicate," and so on.

THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until halfpast one.

(Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was taken.)

4

5.

6

7

8

9

1,1

1.2

a

p

e

a

R

10

13 14

15

16.

1.7

18 19

21 22

20

23 24

AFTERNOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1330.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed. THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Before the recess we discontinued on Annex 4. I begin reading Annex 4. "PROTOCOL

"With reference to the Pact signed on this day by the representatives of Japan, Germany and Italy: the Contracting Parties have arrived at the following understanding.

"I. With a view to determine by consultation with one another the detailed arrangements on the cooperation and mutual assistance between Japan, Germany and Italy as stipulated in Paragraph III. of the Pact, Joint Military and Naval Commissions, preferably one at Tokio and another at Berlin or Rome, together with a Joint Economic Commission, shall forthwith be organized. The composition of the aforesaid Commission shall be etermined through consultation by the Governments of Japan, Germany and Italy.

"The conclusions of the said Commissions shall

be submitted to the respective Governments for approval in order to be put in force.

"II. Thether or not a Contracting Party or Parties has or have been attacked openly or covertly as stipulated in Paragraph III of the Pact shall be determined by the respective Governments, and in case the fact of such an attack has been established the measure of mutual assistance of political, economic and military nature to be adopted by the Contracting Parties shall be studied and recommended by the aforesaid Commissions, subject to approval of the respective Government.

"III. As the cooperation and mutual assistance stipulated in Paragraph III of the Pact have in view as fundamental aims the efforts to establish forthwith a new order in Greater East Asia and Europe, to eventuate in a new world order, blessing Humanity with a just and equitable peace, Germany and Italy shall, in time of peace as well as war, take all possible measures to restrain a Third Power or Powers on the Atlantic with a view to better enabling Japan, Germany and Italy to accomplish their common aim of establishing a new order in Greater East Asia and in the Pacific Basin in General

"In the event of Japan being attacked by a Fower or Powers not at present involved in either the European War or the Sino-Japanese conflict referred to in the last part of Paragraph III of the present Pact, Germany and Italy also undertake to come to Japan's assistance in the Pacific Ocean with all their means and resources.

"IV. While Germany and Italy undertake to use their good offices with a view of improving relations between Japan and the U.S.S.R., Japan, Germany and Italy shall make utmost efforts to induce the U.S.S.R. to act in accord with the main purposes of the present Pact.

change from time to time without delay all useful inventions and devices of war and to supply one another with war equipments, such as aeroplanes, tanks, guns, explosives, etc, with each Party may reasonably spare, together with technical skill and men, should they be required. Furthermore, they are prepared to do utmost in furnishing one another with and in aiding one another in the efforts to procure minerals including oil and other materials as well as machinery for war industries and various requisites for livelihood with machinery of all sorts employed in the production of such requisites.

"VI. The present Protocol shall remain secret and shall not be published."

7 8

"Strictly Confidential

"Excellency:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

"I have the honour to state that, the Japanese Government earnestly share the hope with the Governments of Germany and Italy that the present European "ar will remain limited as far as possible in its sphere and scope and will come to a speedly conclusion and that they shall on their part spare no effort in that direction.

"However, the conditions actually prevailing in Greater East Asia and elsewhere do not permit the Japanese Government to rest assured that there is no danger whatever of an armed conflict taking place between Japan and Great Britain, and accordingly they desire to call attention of the German and Italian Governments to such a possibility and to ask the German and Italian Governments whether in such eventuality the Japanese Government may expect assistance and cooperation in every possible form as provided for under Paragraph III of the present Pact mutatis mutandis.

"I avail myself of this opportunity to renew to Your Excellency the highest consideration."

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham, I understand you desire us to note the changes made in these documents in the course of the negotiations.

24

it with inflection, and also, it will become apparent to your Honors as we go through the variations. I shall not repeat any that are similar and therefore only read those in which there are changes notable, unless you have a better suggestion.

THE PRESIDENT: No, I can suggest nothing better than to intimate to the Court the changes that were made. These documents are new to us.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I will do my best.

(Continuing reading) "I have the honour to state to Your Excellency that inasmuch as the German and Italian Governments recognize and respect the leader-ship of Japan in regard to the establishment of a new order in Greater Fast Asia, it is considered highly desirable by this Government that all the former German Colonies in the Pacific area should be ceded to Japan, without compensation in the case of the Group of Islands mandated by Japan and with proper compensation in the case of other mandated islands as well as those actually in British possession.

"It is understood as matter of course that Japan shall accord a specially favourable treatment to the activities of Germany and her nationals in these regions as compared to any other nation or their

. . . .

nationals.

"I avail myself of this opportunity to renew to Your Excellency the highest consideration."

The next is the first basic draft. I shall read hereafter only the changes made to the draft.

Annex 5 is the same as Annex 4 except page 5, Roman Numeral VI:

(Reading) "In conformity with the spirit which prompted the conclusion of the present Pact, the Governments of the Contracting Parties undertake to enter into negotiations without delay, with a view to deciding upon measures of assuring to the other Contracting Parties of their Nationals, in their commercial and industrial activities in the regions where the Contracting Parties are respectively recognized to have leadership by virtue of Paragraph I and II of the present Pact a position which is preponderant in comparison to that of any Third Power and its nationals."

I now go to the next page, page 6:

"However, the conditions actually prevailing in Greater East asia and elsewhere do not permit the Japanese Government to rest assured that there is no danger whatever of an armed conflict taking place between Japan and Great Britain, and accordingly they desire to call attention of the German and Italian Governsire to call attention of the German and Italian Governsire.

.

ments to such a possibility and they feel confident that Germany and Italy will do their utmost to aid Japan in such eventuality with all means in their power."

page 2, Toman Numerals III and IV. I will only read page 2, Koman Numerals III and IV:

"III. Japan, Germany and Italy agree to cooperate in their efforts on the aforesaid lines. If
a power not at present included in the European War or
the Chinese-Japanese conflict commits an act of aggression against one of the three contracting parties, Japan,
Germany and Italy undertake to declare war on such
power and to assist one another with all political,
economic and military means.

"IV. With a view to implementing the present pact, conversations between the general staffs of the Japanese, German and Italian forces will be opened by the technical commissions which will meet without delay."

Then I go to Annex 7 and read the total of that. It is very brief.

"In our opinion an explicit emphasis of the obligation to declare war would have a specially strong neutralizing effect on America. America would certainly hesitate ten times before entering the war if the pact stated in clear and impressive terms that

7 8

America would then automatically be at war with three great powers."

Annex 8 is the same as Annex 6 except Roman numerals III and IV. I shall read only those.

"Japan, Germany and Italy agree to cooperate in their efforts on the aforesaid lines. If a power not at present included in the European War or the Chinese-Japanese conflict commits an act of aggression against one of the three Contracting Parties, Japan, Germany and Italy undertake to assist one another with all political, economic and military means.

"IV. With a view to implementing the present Pact, Joint Technical Commissions the members of which are to be appointed by the respective Governments of Japan, Germany and Italy will meet without delay."

Now 9. I will read the whole except the last part, the formal part:

"Strictly Confidential

"Excellency:

"I have the honour to state that the following are some of the salient points of our several conversations that had taken place from the 9th to the 21st Septem. r, 1940, relative to the conclusion of the Three Powers Pact signed on this day:

"1. Joint Technical Commissions, stipulated

in Paragraph IV of the Pact, shall be organized at once together with a Joint Fconomic Commission. The composition of the aforesaid Commissions shall be determined through Consultation by the Governments of Japan, Germany and Italy.

"The conclusions of the said Commissions shall be submitted to the respective Governments for approval in order to be put in force.

"II. Whether or not a power not at present involved in the European Mar or the Sino-Japanese Conflict has committed an act of aggression against one of the three Contracting Parties as stipulated in Paragraph III of the Pact, shall be determined upon consultation among the Japanese, German and Italian Governments, and in case the fact of such an aggression had been established the measures of mutual assistance of political, economic and military nature to be adopted by the Contracting Parties shall be studied and recommended by the aforesaid Commissions, subject to approval of the respective Governments.

"III. As the cooperation and mutual assistance stipulated in Paragraph III of the Pact have in view as fundamental aims the efforts to establish forthwith a new order in Greater East Asia and Europe, to eventuate in a new world order, blessing Humanity with

a just and equitable peace. Germany shall, in time of peace as well as war, take all possible measures in conjunction with Italy, to restrain a Third Power or Powers on the Atlantic with a view to better enabling Japan, Germany and Italy to accomplish their common aim of catablishing a new order in Greater Fast Asia and in the Pacific Basin in general.

"In the event of Japan being attacked by a Power or Powers not at present involved in either the European War or the Sino-Japanese conflict referred to in the last part of Paragraph III of the present Pact, Germany also undertakes to come to Japan's assistance in the Pacific Ocean with all their means and resources.

3

5

7

9

11

12

14

16

17

19

20

22

23

25

"IV. While Germany undertakes to use their good offices with a view to improving relations between Japan and the U.S.S.R., Japan and Germany shall make utmost efforts to induce the U.S.S.R. to act in accord the main purpose of the present Pact.

"V. Japan and Germany undertake to exchange from time to time without delay all useful inventions devices of war and to supply mutually with war equipments, such as aeroplanes, tanks, guns, explosives, etc., which they may reasonably spare, together with technical skill and men, should it be required. Furthermore they are prepared mutually to do utmost in furnishing with and in aiding in the efforts to procure minerals including oil and other materials as well as machinery for war industries and various requisites for livelihood with machinery of all sorts employed in the production of such requisites.

"It is needless to say that the above understandings shall remain secret and shall not be published.

"It is desired that Your Excellency would confirm the understandings as above set forth."

"Strictly Confidential.

"Excellency:

"I have the honour to inform Your Excellency that, the Japanese Government earnestly share the hope

with the Governments of Germany and Italy that the present European War will remain limited as far as possible in its sphere and scope and will come to a speedy conclusion and that they shall on their part spare no effort in that direction.

"However, the conditions actually prevailing in Greener East Asia and elsewhere do not permit the Japanese Government to rest assured that there is no danger whatever of an armed conflict taking place between Japan and Great Britain, and accordingly they desire to call attention of the German Government to such a possibility and to state that they feel confident that Germany will do their utmost to aid Japan in such eventuality with all means in their power."

## "Excellency:

"I have the honour to ask your Excellency to confirm the accuracy of the following oral declaration which was made by Your Excellency on behalf of the German Government:

"The German Government agree that the former German 'clonies actually under Japan's Mandate in the South Seas will forever remain in Japan's possession against an adequate compensation. In regard to other former Colonies in the South Seas, the German Government undertake to confer with the Japanese Government

upon and after the conclusion of the Three Powers Pact, in an accommodating spirit, with the view to disposing them as far as possible in Japan's favour."

Then Annex 10 is the same as the final Pact, which is prosecution exhibit 43, transcript pages 6391 to 6393.

Annex 11 corresponds to prosecution's exhibit 555-C, transcript pages 6400, 6401.

That takes us down to Annex No. 12:

"I have the honour to ask Your Excellency to confirm the following oral declaration which was made by Your Excellency on behalf of the German Government:

"The German Government agree that the former German Colonies actually under Japan's Mandate in the South Seas will remain in Japan's possession, it being understood that Germany be adequately compensated therefor. In regard to other former Colonies in the South Seas, they shall be restored automatically to Germany upon conclusion of peace ending the present European War. Afterwards the German Government would be prepared to confer, in accommodating spirit, with the Japanese Government with a view to disposing of them as far as possible in Japan's favour against compensation.

"I avail myself of this opportunity" and so on.

# "Excellency:

"I have the honour to acknowledge receipt of Your Excellency's letter of this date, No. 1111, and to confirm the oral declaration made by me concerning the former German Colonies in the South Seas which is contained in Your Excellency's letter under reply.

"I avail myself" and so on.

I go to Annex 13:

"Excellency:

"In the moment when our conversations concerning the Three Powers Fact are to be concluded successfully, it is Minister Stahmer's and my sincerest desire to express to Your Excellency our heartiest regard for your leading and generous cooperation in most accommodating spirit. We should like to state once more in this letter the conformity concerning the salient points which we always have found in our conversations with Your Excellency in regard of the aims and details of the Pact.

"The German Government are convinced that the Contracting Parties are going to enter in now and desire a period of World History in which they will be charged with leadership of the establishment and new order in Greater East Asia and in Europe. The recognition of our interest with are in conformity for the present

time and for long future and unlimited mutual confidence of the Contracting Parties are forming the solid bases of the three Powers Fact. The German Government are convinced that the technical details of the Pact will resolve without difficulties on fundamental confidence and that it would be contrary to the far-reaching importance of the Pact to fix formally some single questions which would never thoroughly work out.

"If Japan contrary to the aim of the Pact would be involved in a Conflict with a power until now not belligerent, the German Government will be obliged without any doubt to assist Japan to the utmost extent and will offer every possible military and economic support. Concerning the relations between Japan and Russia, Germany will help to obtain most friendly understanding and offer her good services for that purpose.

"I have the honour to present to Your Excellency this principal statement in accordance with the
ideas of the German Foreign Minister representative,
Minister Stahmer and according to the repeated instructions of German Government transmitted to myself during the conversation concerning the Three Powers Pact."

That, you will recall, is Ambassador Ott writ-

ing.

Annex 14:

"l. Does Ribbentrop insist on signing the Pact at Berlin? MATSUOKA has been negotiating the Pact all through with an assumption that the Pact would be signed at Tokio, which has been shared by all these who have taken part in deliberation concerning this question.

pedite the matter, would it not be better to have only one text common to three Contracting Parties; that is, English text, on which we have been negotiating? If we insist to have the Pact in three languages it would necessitate us to have German and Italian versions together with Japanese text for submission to Privy Council to be examined closely in each of the three languages. This would further postpone the conclusion and publication of the Pact, which I hate to see.

Again, one language text would go far towards to lessen possible discrepancy in interpretation.

"Please also get as quickly as possible answer this point.

"3. Will you please ask Ribbentrop for the ...
last time to agree to authorize the German Ambassador
here to exchange note with Foreign Minister (MATSUOKA)
on the contents of Draft Frotocol as drawn up (of

course not in the form of the secret Protocol) and try 1 to get answer as early as possible?" 2 Annex 15. I shall not read the final Pact. 3 That is the same as prosecution's exhibit 43, trans-4 cript pages 6391 to 6393. 5 Annex 16 I shall not read. 1 and 2 corres-6 pond to prosecutions exhibit 555-C, transcript pages 7 6400 and 6401. 8 Annex 17, 1 and 2, corresponds to prosecution 9 exhibit 556, transcript page 6402. 10 Annex 18 is the same as prosecutions exhibit 11 555-B, transcript pages 6396-6369. 12 13 Annex 19, 1 and 2, are the same as Annex 18 14 with its confirmation. 15 Annex 20, Number 1, is the final Pact, the 16 same as prosecution's exhibit Number 43. 17 Annex 22 is prosecution's exhibit 555-B. 18 Annex 23 I shall read; a letter from the 19 Foreign Minister to the German Ambassador: 20 "Excellency: 21 "I have the honour to acknowledge receipt of 22 Your Excellency's letter No. G-1000 of this date, and 23 I feel happy to take note of the contents", and so on. 24 Those are the final documents which comprise 25

the entire list of annexe;, including the final draft

of the Tripartite Pact. That concludes the reading of defense document 1656-A.

I would like to offer in evidence defense document 2477, the affidavit of Ambassador Ott, concerning the general questions which are involved in the exhibits introduced by the prosecution as emanating from Ambassador Ott while he was on duty in Japan.

Greenberg & Barton

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal please, I desire to point out that no opportunity was had by the prosecution to cross-examine on this affidavit, rather, to interrogate the witness on this affidavit. However, no objection will be made to its introduction on that score. Interrogatories and cross-interrogatories were agreed upon between the prosecution as the defense, but this is an additional affidavit which the prosecution did not see until after the repatriation of Ambassador Ott.

The only objection that I have to make is
to one sentence, the last sentence, appearing in
paragraph five, entitled "Lack of Cooperation." The
objection is to the sentence beginning "General
Marshall" on the ground that General Marshall's statement appears in evidence, and this reference to it
is an inaccurate reference. It is also objectionable
on the ground that it constitutes argument.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, on the question of lack of ability of the prosecution to cross-examine the witness, that was beyond our power. As you will recall, I ordered a subpoena for this witness. The subpoena was issued by the Tribunal, and the sub-

poena was not honored by the Chinese Government. THE PRESIDENT: What about the objection to 2 the last sentence in the paragraph headed "Lack of 3 Cooperation"? 4 MR. CUNNINGHAM: That is merely corrobora-5 tion; and, if it is not necessary, why, I do not 6 care particularly about it. 7 THE PRESIDENT: I think you ought to strike 8 9 that out, Mr. Cunningham. 10 Well, the document is admitted, subject to 11 the deletion of that sentence, on the usual terms. 12 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2477 13 will receive exhibit No. 3146. 14 (Whereupon, the document above 15 referred to was marked defense exhibit 16 No. 3146 and received in evidence.) 17 MR. CUNNINGHAM: I now offer to read in 18 evidence the affidavit of Eugen Ott. 19 "I, Eugen Ott, after being first duly sworn 20 on oath, do hereby depose and say that I was ambassa-21 dor from Germany to Japan at some of the times when 22 Ambassador OSHIMA was the Japanese representative in 23 Germany. 24 "THE SINGAPORE QUESTION 25

"I made the survey on the possibilities of

a Japanese attack on Singapore in early 1941 thru my own initiative and not in response to any instructions from my government. OSHIMA had no part in the discussions from the Japanese side.

## "THE TRIPARTITE PACT

"In all of the discussions leading up to the Tripartite Pact there never was one word said about aggressive or offensive action, that is by the contracting parties. The main purpose of the agreement was to prevent the entry of the United States into the war. OSHIMA took no part whatsoever in any of the discussions.

## "SUBMARINE TRANSFER

"The transfer of the two submarines was handled purely as a navy transaction. Never have I heard that the Ambassadors of either nation took any active part in the transaction. Their transfer was of no practical value to either country. The actual delivery took place after my tour of duty.

#### "LACK OF COOPERATION

"Never at any time during my tour of duty in Japan, either as Military Attache or as ambassador, was there any real cooperation between the fighting forces of the two countries, Japan and Germany.

6.

"MATSUOKA'S VISIT TO HITLER

"I was with MATSUOKA on his tour of Europe and Russia in 1941. The feeling was very cool between the Japanese foreign minister and Ambassador OSHIMA. No conferences were attended by them together with the exception of the preliminary introductory meetings. After that OSHIMA attended only the social and informal occasions.

"PEARL HARBOR ATTACK

"Since I was the ranking Germany official in Japan at the time of the attack on Pearl Harbor, any advance news of the event would have been brought to my attention. It came as a complete surprise to me as well as to the other members of my staff. I was officially informed hours after the occurrence. We Germans were never advised of Japanese Military or Naval plans until after the events took place.

"CHINESE JAPANESE WAR.

"It was the settled foreign policy of Germany while I was Military Attache and ambassador to
help settle the conflict between Chiang Kai-shek and
Tokyo. At times success seemed close. I spent considerable effort personally to bring peace to the
Far East by attempting conciliation. My efforts in
this direction all failed. Germany cherished her

7 8

friendly relations with China and valued highly her commercial possibilities. Germany was never ravored over other nations in her commercial dealings with Japan, except during the World War II.

"This statement was made on the day before my repatriation to Germany. Signed in Shanghai, China, on this 30th day of August, 1947.

### "EUGEN OTT

"Sworn to before the Vice Consul of the United States at Shanghai, China."

If your Honor please, before I close the offering of testimony and evidence in the relations between Germany and Japan, I would kind of like to have a direction if it is necessary for the defense to go forward on this proof. Up until now we have tried to show that there was no cooperation between the two nations. I believe we have shown that. Now we are prepared to go forward to show that there was actual double-crossing of Germany -- of Japan by Germany, and I wonder if it is necessary to go beyond the point at which we have now arrived.

THE PRESIDENT: Offer whatever evidence you think you should offer. We will not undertake to advise you how you are getting along in our estimation, Mr. Cunningham.

.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, if you want to save a couple of weeks, you can dismiss Count 5 at this juncture of the case.

THE PRESIDENT: We are not here to make any bargains with the defense.

Mr. Brannon.

MR. BRANNON: Does the Tribunal have defense document 2484?

On the 22nd of August, the defense offered in evidence document 2115, which was an except from the Nuernberg decision relative to the United States submarine warfare in the Pacific, which was rejected by the Tribunal. We now offer in evidence defense document 2484 which is the interrogation of Fleet Admiral Chester W. Nimitz of the United States Navy pertaining to the same matter.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: If it please the Tribunal, at the time the first doc: lent was tendered, the objection that the prosecution made covered not only the form in which the document was presented, in the nature of a judgment as it was, but also the content of it. And I do not know whether the rejection was on both grounds or only on one ground. However, I desire to make it plain that our objection to the

.

document in its present form is on the ground that
the point involved is not relevant or material to
any issue in this case. The document deals with
unrestricted warfare. The Indictment charges, in
Sections 13, 14 and 15 of Appendix D, matters relating to submarine warfare, but they do not involve the
matter mentioned here.

THE PRESIDENT: What does the Indictment say?

MR. TAVENNER: Section 13 refers to killing survivors of ships sunk by naval action and crews of captured ships. Section 14 refers to the failure to respect military mospital ships. And the only other section dealing with naval warfare is Section 15 which relates to attacks upon neutral ships. In any view of the matter, we take the position that the subject of this document is irrelevant and immaterial.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Brannon.

MR. BRANNON: I was under the impression that the Tribunal had ruled upon the relevancy of the document but merely suggested that there was a better way of presenting it.

THE PRESIDENT: Something turned on what Admiral Nimitz or the Nuernberg Court meant by the term "unrestricted submarine warfare." But he said

nothing to justify the assumption that the deliberate killing of survivors was included or the sinking of hospital ships.

MR. BRANNON: If the prosecution is willing to withdraw from the Indictment any charge relative to Japanese submarine activity, I am quite willing to forego the reading of this document. In addition, this document states that from the commencement of hostilities, December 7, 1941, this unrestricted submarine warfare was pursued. That in and of itself may provide either justification or excuse or provocation such as may be viewed by the Tribunal relative to the subsequent action of the Japanese Navy in regard to submarine warfare.

THE PRESIDENT: I am told -- I haven't read this affidavit or this interrogation of Admiral Nimitz -- that it refers to attacks on neutral ships.

MR. TAVENNER: If it please the Tribunal,
the document shows on its face clearly that by unrestricted warfare was meant attack upon merchantmen
without warning; and the whole subject of the interrogation indicates that that is the subject of the document -- that that was the subject matter which was
meant -- the definition meant by the term "unrestricted warfare."

THE PRESIDENT: Yes. Well, it is very difficult to see how it is relevant to any conduct of the Japanese. By a majority, the Court sustains the objection and rejects the document.

Mr. Blewett.

MR. BLEWETT: If the Court please, instead of calling a witness in defense document 2120, the prosecution has graciously waived cross-examination. Therefore, I offer in evidence defense document No. 2120.

THE MONITOR: Our section was informed to the effect that Mr. Roberts would go on this afternoon. Therefore, we do not have your documents.

MR. BLEWETT: I shall have to defer this then, your Honor. (Pause) The Language Section has now been furnished with the Japanese documents, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, Mr. Blewett. They appear to be ready now. There is no light against you.

MR. BLEWETT: I offer the document in evidence, if your Honor please.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2120

will receive exhibit No. 3147.

70.00

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3147 and received in evidence.)

MR. BLEWETT: Before reading the affidavit of this witness, I refer the Tribunal to page 16,800 and 16,801 of the transcript where reference is made to the reception of declarations by the accused and also exhibits 103 to 129 which are the personal records.

1

I shall read exhibit No. 3147:

2 3

6

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

"I served in the Bureau of Decorations of the Cabinet for more than nine years from February 1938 and at present occupy a high position in the Bureau next to the President.

reason or original and the

"The granting of rewards comes under the charge of the Bureau of Decorations. I have personal knowledge of the rewards that were awarded after I entered the service such as those of the China Incident, and also I know of the rewards prior to the Incident in general since I investigated them as part of my duties.

The extensive rewards granted as in the Manchurian and China Incidents were granted through the following procedure:

- "(a) First, the standing rules regarding rewards are made according to the decisions of the Cabinet meeting.
- "(b) Next, the Ministries concerned apply to the Bureau of Decorations for rewards within the fixed number of persons, and at the same time have their officials in charge explain to the Bureau their reasons orally.
  - "(c) The Bureau of Decerations on its part

expresses its opinion on the above.

"(d) Thus, finally, as a result of deliberations on both sides, the reward bill is drafted.

"(e) The above bill is submitted to the Rewards Conference which is composed of fifteen regular councillors (besides two members of the Imperial family) and then the Conference passes the bill, it is presented to the Prime Minister.

"(f) The Prime Minister reports of it to the Throne to obtain Imperial sanction.

"(g) When Imperial sanction is given, the rewards are then granted.

"Even with rewards on a small scale as the time of the anti-Comintern Pact, the same procedure as mentioned above was used with the exception that standing rules were not made.

"The rewards include the grant of decorations, cups and money.

"Those who were granted rewards in the Manchurian Incident total 452,826. Among them, those who rendered distinguished services (given the Order of the Golden Kite) reached 9,096; those who performed meritorious service (chiefly given the Order of the Rising Sun) numbered 158,593; and those who rendered exceptional services (chiefly given the Order of the

8

1

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

Sacted Treasure), 153,881. Among those who were awarded the rewards are WAKATSUKI, (Given a set of gold cups), SHIDEHARA, (given a set of gold cups), General UGAKI, (given a set of gold cups), HAYASHI, (given the 2nd Class Order of the Rising Sun), MORI-SHIMA, (given the Order of the Rising Sun, 2nd Class), and Major General TANAKA, (given the Order of the Rising Sun, 4th Class in the first awards and 3rd Class Order in the second). WAKATSUKI, SHIDEHARA and UCAKI were given a set of gold cups respectively in lieu of decorations because they had already higher class decorations.

"Those who were granted rewards at the time of the anti-Comintern Pact total 49, of which 11 were given decorations and 38 wete given cups. A ong those who were given decorations were ARITA, (given the Order of the Rising Sun, 1st Class), as Foreign Minister, MUSHAKOJI, (given the Order of the Rising Sun, 1st Class, as Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary to Germany), HORINOUCHI, (given the Order of the Rising Sun, 2nd Class, as Vice-Foreign Minister), and KURIYAMA, (given the Order of the Rising Sun, 3rd Class, as Director of the Treaty Bureau in the Foreign Office).

Among those who were granted silver cups were HAYASHI, (given a set of silver cups as the Minister of Justice),

	IWAMURA, (given a silver cup as the Director of the
9000	Bureau of Criminal Affairs).
	sto centored lecture electroniche) services lectied it
	services, 150,000 fewy were both given the brillian of
	the Galler Kits); hert, those who performed maritarious
	sarvinos interior green the Orders or the Stains sand
	of the collage live, but Class, and the createst the or
	recepts to recognition of their services to wondlife
,	the error hart, is buring note granted control of
	constitution of Only services to section by the
	the remaindations and remaind a these Sects in 1907 of
	CARCII ADI

Yelden & Knap

.16

"(VIII) Those who were granted rewards in the China Incident total 3,319,548. Among them, those who rendered A-Class distinguished services reached 3,370 and those who rendered B-Class distinguished services, 182,992 (they were both given the Orders of the Golden Kite); next, those who performed meritorious services (chiefly given the Orders of the Rising Sun) reached 1,768,053 and those who rendered exceptional services (chiefly given the Orders of the Sacred Treasure) reached 605,173. Among those who were granted decorations were Lieutenant General ISHIHARA, Kanji (given the Order of the Sacred Treasure, 1st Class) and Major General TANAKA, Ryukichi (given the Order of the Golden Kite, 3rd Class, and the Order of the Rising Sun, 2nd Class).

"(IX) There was no one who was granted rewards in recognition of their services in concluding the Tripartite Alliance on Feptember 27, 1940. On the other hand, 15 persons were granted rewards in consideration of their services in concluding the Anglo-Japanese Pact in 1902 (Meiji 35) and a total of 29 were given rewards for their services in concluding the French-Japanese and Russo-Japanese Pacts in 1907 (Meiji 40).

"(X) Formerly, some were created peers in

recognition of their meritorious services in war and in conclusion of treaties. Creation of peerage, however, is in the charge of the Bureau of Peerage and Heraldry in the Imperial Household Department and is not within the jurisdiction of the Bureau of Decorations, so I am not in a position to speak about the matter." Signed.

The Tribunal will recall that WAKATSUKI, page 1553, SHIDEHARA, page 1318, HAYASHI, page 2178, and MORISHIMA, page 3006, were apparently opposed, as submitted by the prosecution, to the Manchurian Affair.

THE PRESIDENT: So was MORISHIMA, was he not?

MR. BLEWETT: I referred to him, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: Did you?

MR. BLEWETT: Yes, page 3006.

UGAKI also testified on page 1604, and TANAKA, among other places in the record, on page 1945.

ARITA, MUSHAKOJI, and HORINOUCHI are well known to the Court through various exhibits pertaining to the Anti-Comintern Pact.

That concludes, if the Tribunal pleases, the Pacific war division of the defense, with the exception of some evidence on the economic subdivision which is quite extensive, on which the prosecution and

the defense are now endeavoring to work out a compromise and file a stipulation. We ask if we may have a reservation for that purpose, sir?

THE PRESIDENT: How long will it take if you do not compromise?

MR. BLEWETT: Sir, it would run into several days if we are unable to agree upon a stipulation, but we are quite certain we can agree upon a stipulation. The length of time it will take between the defense and the prosecution, however, will be some time. If we are able to agree, sir, on a stipulation, the time taken will be just about a half hour.

THE PRESIDENT: By all means, try to agree.
Mr. Roberts.

. 1

: 5

MR. ROBERTS: At this time I should like to submit to the Court a number of statements, decisions and circular telegrams of the Chinese communists beginning with 26 April 1932, on which day the Chinese Communist Party declared war against Japan, up to the time immediately following the Marco Polo Bridge Incident. I am going to prove by these documents that the Chinese Communist Party declared war against Japan and used this declaration as the opening gun in its drive in acquiring power, and to strengthen and enlarge the organization of the party itself; how by deliberate preparations the party insidiously tried to sway the minds of the people, strove for the organization of a peoples' united anti-Japanese front, using frenzied propaganda and agitation; how they literally plotted the Hsian Incident, thereby successfully uniting the Nationalist Party (Kuomintang) with the Communist Party; how the anti-Japanese movement developed, finally to be the cause of the Marco Polo Bridge Incident, by causing the Chinese side to fire first; and how by intentionally hindering the settlement of the Incident and continually aggravating the situation they thereby directly threatened the Japanese inhabitants in China with the loss of their lives and their property.

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

First, I offer for identification a book

entitled "History of the Chinese Communist Party in 1932." This is a collection of official reports printed by the information branch of the Foreign Affairs Ministry based on the most trustworthy material chosen from the reports of the Japanese officials in China and actually used in the Foreign Office as confidential documents for reference.

"History of the Chinese Communist Party for the year 1932," printed in Japanese, will receive exhibit No. 3148 for identification only.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3148 for identification only.)

MR. ROBERTS: Next I offer defense document
No. 1851. This is an excerpt from the above stated
book, exhibit 3148 for identification. This is the
declaration of war against Japan announced on 26 April
1932 by the Provisional Government of Soviet China.
This document will show that in spite of the efforts
of the Chinese National Government to make peace and
thereby to establish peaceful relations with Japan, the
Chinese Communist Party deliberately interfered in the
situation; and that the Chinese communists declared war
against Japan, and actually did try to drive Japanese

people and interests out of China by means of the peoples' war of revolution.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: May it please the Tribunal, the prosecution objects to this document, indeed to the whole series of documents, to which my learned friend has just referred although I cannot for a moment accept his description of their contents as being accurate. The whole of these documents were in effect tendered and rejected before as parts of or in connection with two affidavits, one by a witness named OTSUKA, who was tendered at page 22,432 of the record, and also the same documents apparently in connection with the affidavit of a witness named HATANO at page 22,675 of the record, or rather beginning a little earlier than that at page 22,668.

There were then two types of objection taken to them. The first and main one was that they were irrelevant and offended against the numerous rulings given by the Tribunal on the question of documents relating to communism in China at the following pages: 21,081, 21,115, 22,412, 22,451, and 22,455. The effect of those rulings taken together was that each accused might, when he came to give his own evidence, tender his fear of communism in explanation of his acts; that

is to say, give evidence as to his own state of mind, but that evidence would not be received in the phases or at any other time with regard to the existence or spread of communism or of any other ideology in China or elsewhere, but that evidence might be given of an actual attack on Japanese nationals or property by Chinese communists or any other Chinese or of a threat of attacks of that character where the threat is of a serious nature, is imminent, and the persons making ithave present ability to give effect to it. These documents which are being tendered now do not purport to show anything of the kind.

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess now for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

spratt & Duda

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: What these documents do purport to show, if they are authentic, is that on various dates from the 26th of April, 1932, onwards, the Communist Party in China incited the people of China to resist the Japanese aggression in Manchuria which at that date had already been in progress for some seven months, and which had by that time resulted in the overrunning by the Japanese of all the three provinces of Manchuria, and I think also the fourth province of Jehol.

They also purport to show that the Communist Party was attacking the Kuomintang Government and Chang Hsueh-Liang, the commanding general in that area, for offering insufficient resistance to Japanese aggression.

MR. ROBERTS: May I object to the prosecutor's referring to documents which I have not offered in evidence or tendered in any manner. I have offered one document relating to one particular phase, stating what is contained in that document. The prosecutor is now going on to tell this Court what the other documents which I am going to offer contain and why

they are objectionable.

THE PRESIDENT: We understand they are all related and covered by the same argument. We do not want to hear it a dozen times, Mr. Roberts. If this communist declaration of war on Japan is rejected, there isn't much hope for the rest.

MR. ROBERTS: That is so, but I wanted to offer each document separately and have a ruling thereon, because there may be relevancy in one document which may not appear in another document.

THE PRESIDENT: We will hear Mr. Carr fully.

We do not want him interrupted. That will not prevent
you from tendering the separate documents.

this first document -- and the argument would be the same on all the others -- an instigation to resist what is described, and in our submission rightly, as Japanese aggression which has already taken place, is a totally different thing; is not only legitimate in itself, but is a totally different thing from an attack or threatened attack upon Japanese nationals or property, which the Tribunal said before might afford some justification for subsequent Japanese action and might prevent that action, subsequent action, from being of an aggressive character. In our submission,

it is an act which not only a Chinese communist but any other Chinese was well entitled to take, and could not come within the exception, suggested exception, to the Tribunal's previous ruling.

That covers all the documents to which my friend referred in his opening remarks except those which took place after the outbreak of what is called the China Incident on July 7, 1937. In that case, the case of those documents, the same point applies, with this difference, that at that stage the communists in China were acting in conjunction with the Kuomintang Government and both of them were engaged in resisting what they considered to be aggression, and the three communist documents tendered were issued in support of that united resistance.

The other objection taken at the time when these documents were first under discussion was that no attempt had been made to account for the originals, or to establish the authenticity of the alleged originals if accounted for.

went, they were merely missing pieces of paper. In part that objection is overcome by the certificates now attached to the documents, and by a revised affidavit of HATANO which has now been served upon us,

which show that the present documents are copied from a book /hich was in turn copied from some other pieces of paper, the latter having been destroyed. But no attempt has been made to show that the pieces of paper which have been destroyed were in themselves authentic documents or were, in fact, issued by the Communist Party in China or anybody else.

For those reasons, we submit that this document now under consideration should be rejected; and subject to any special points my friend may produce with regard to any later ones I shall not repeat the argument with regard to those; it will be the same objection.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Roberts.

MR. ROBERTS: In rejecting the affidavit of the witness HATANO at page 22,674 of the record, it was stated by the President of the Court at that time as follows:

"By a majority the Court upholds the objection and rejects the document; but if the document is redrafted so as to conform to the rules, it will be received so far as it is confined to statements of relevant and material facts."

At that time the primary objection on the part of the prosecution was that the statements in

7 8

>

the affidavit were matters that were opinion and we should produce the documents and the certificate to show the authenticity.

MR. COMYNS CARR: That was not the primary objection. The objections were exactly as I have stated them today.

MR. ROBERTS: We have here the witness HATANO, who is again ready to testify before this Board, who was responsible for making many of the 3 official reports which were sent to the Foreign 4 Ministry, and which were referred to as official reports in the certificate. Although my friend 6 refers to them as pieces of paper, the certificate 7 states that they are collected from reports of the 8 9 Japanese Foreign Office authorities in China and are 10 considered as official documents from the Foreign 11 Office, marked "Confidential for reference." So that 12 under the ruling of this Court that where there is 13 a threat which may tend to endanger the lives or 14 property of Japanese nationals in China that information is relevant and should be received. Certainly, 16 the official documents are a basis upon which it can 17 be shown that the defendants may have relied upon 18 these threats and had justification for the actions 19 which were taken by them. And with respect to the 20 first document offered, the showing therein of the deliberate interference of the Communist Party in the . 22 Sino-Japanese negotiations and an outright declaration 23 of war against Japan is certainly a threat to the 24 lives and property of Japanese nationals in China;

and certainly my friend's reference to later documents

21

which show that the National Government joined forces with the Communist Party showed a ratification of these acts of the Communist Party as shown in the particular document which I have offered, being defense document 1851, and it is certainly relevant and material as to what these defendants may have thought at the time action was taken in China.

THE PRESIDENT: Were the Communists, do you suggest, in any position to follow up that so-called declaration of war?

MR. ROBERTS: The documents will show an evolutionary trend whereby they did gain power and did consolidate their power and did join with the National Government, so that they used this as a means of not only extending their power but of influencing the government at the same time, and certainly the war situation today is sufficient evidence that that power is still maintained.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the Court sustains the objection and rejects the document.

MR. ROBERTS: I want to make a tender on the record, if your Honor please, of the remaining documents.

I wish to offer for identification a book entitled, "The History of the Chinese Communist Party

\*\*\*

in 1932."

CLERK OF THE COURT: The book printed in Japanese, entitled, "History of the Chinese Communist Party for the year 1933," will receive exhibit No. 3149 for identification only.

(Whereupon, the book above referred to was marked defense exhibit
No. 3149 for identification.)

MR. ROBERTS: I next offer defense document 1852, which is an excerpt from exhibit 3149 for identification, for the record.

THE PRESIDENT: To save time I suggest that you offer all those documents as one, Mr. Roberts. They can be lettered "A, B, C, D," and so forth.

MR. COMYNS CARR: They are all objected to.

MR. ROBERTS: The documents are excepts

from different books, if your Honor please; so that
where they are the same book we can letter them
together, but where they are a separate book we will
have to mark the book first and then mark them
according to the identification.

Next, I offer for identification a book entitled, "History of the Chinese Communist Party in 1934." This is similar to the book already

.

offered.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

13

100

2.4

25

Japan, entitled, "History of the Chinese Communist Party in 1934," will receive exhibit No. 3150 for identification only.

(Whereupon, the book above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3159 for identification.)

MR. ROBERTS: And the defense document which is an excerpt is document 1853, which I also offer.

THE PRESIDENT: It is rejected.

MR. ROBERTW: I offer for identification a book called, "History of the Chinese Communist Party in 1935," which is similar to the book previously offered.

CLERK OF THE COURT: The book printed in Japanese, entitled, "History of the Chinese Communist Party for the year 1935," will receive exhibit No. 3151 for identification only.

(Whereupon, the book above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3151 for identification.)

MR. ROBERTS: And the excerpt from this book which I offer is defense document 1854.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection is upheld and

the document rejected. MR. ROBERTS: I next offer for identification a book entitled, "History of the Chinese Communist 3 4 Party in 1936." CLERK OF THE COURT: The book printed in 5 Japanese, entitled, "History of the Chinese Communist 6 7 Party for the year 1936," will receive exhibit No. 3152 for identification only. (Whereupon, the book above re-10 ferred to was marked defense exhibit No. 11 3152 for identification.) 12 MR. ROBERTS: There are three excerpts from 13 this book for identification, which are defense docu-14 ments 1855, 1856, and 1858. 15 THE PRESIDENT: The objection is upheld and 16 the documents rejected. 17 the second of the second secon 18 19 20 the same as the character companies. 21 Party for the steer 1925, 7 kill receive rubbits for 22 23 there is an activities only. (What cares, the brown there per 24 25 there is wie week a dechric dechric desirbit Way

tel wooderski Ingen ere three excupits from

Said that the description time, which are describe ande

.

Wolf & Lefler

MR. ROBERTS: Next I offer for identification "The History of the Chinese Communist Party in 1937."

Japanese, entitled "History of the Chinese Communist Party for the Year 1937" will receive exhibit No. 3153 for identification only.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3153 for identification.)

MR. ROBERTS: The excerpts from this book are defense documents Nos. 1859, 1860, 1861, 1862, 1863, and 1864, which I hereby tender.

THE PRESIDENT: Objection upheld; documents rejected.

MR. ROBERTS: I next call the witness HATANO Kanichi.

R. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, in my submission, having regard to the previous ruling of the Court, it is a waste of time to even swear this witness. His revised affidavit contains nothing except an account of how he compiled these books and then a number of the paragraphs purporting to summarize the contents of the documents which have already been rejected.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: Mr. President, may the

witness be sworn? THE PRESIDENT: We will hear Mr. Roberts first. 3 MR. ROBERTS: I would like to have him sworn 4 and then tender it in the regular order, or if the 5 Court wants to consider his affidavit --THE PRESIDENT: Tender his affidavit and then we will have the argument on the affidavit. 8 MR. ROBERTS: That is defense document No. 9 1876. 10 THE PRESIDENT: The affidavit is tendered. .11 12 Your objection? MR. COMYNS CARR: I object to it for the 13 14 grounds already stated. MR. ROBERTS: This man resided more than 15 twenty years in China, was actually on the scene and 16 17 observed the events which he describes in his affi-18 davit. He refers to the activities of the Chinese 19 Communists in China and to the reports made by them, 20 because it was a part of his official duty to gather 21 reports and send them in to the Foreign Office. 22 MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, there is no 23 reference in the affidavit to his seeing anything ex-24 cept these pieces of paper.

THE PRESIDENT: In any event, his affidavit

1 2

3

4

5

7

8

10

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

22

23 24

25

would be relevant and material only if it included evidence of attacks on Japanese persons or property in China or evidence of threats of such attacks.

Does it come within the test laid down by the Court? Apparently, it does not.

MR. ROBERTS: Well, it is the contention of the defense that this relates to threats of attack against Japanese lives and Japanese interests in China.

THE PRESIDENT: We referred to imminent threats, not latent ones.

MR. ROBERTS: It was certainly what this witness believed to be threats of imminent attacks and imminent danger not only to the lives of the nationals and their properties but also to the very existence of Japan itself.

THE PRESIDENT: I understand on page 3 there is a reference to confiscation of Japanese property, but that is a threat against all property by certain political parties.

MR. ROBERTS: He explains the course of conduct of the Chinese in directing attacks and endangering property of the Japanese nationals, lives of the people residing there. The prosecution has certainly alleged that many attacks took place in China and

1 2

certainly here is the cause of the attack that did take place. I'd like to know the reasons for them.

THE PRESIDENT: It is pointed out by a Member of the Tribunal that if there were threats by the Communists, they were later in time than the Japanese action in Manchuria and China.

MP. ROBERTS: We certainly think that this evidence shows that there were other events behind the actions in China before the Japanese attempted any movement whatever in 1931.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the Court sustains the objection and rejects the document.

The witness is released on the usual terms.

MR. ROBERTS: Mr. Williams will proceed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Williams.

MR. WILLIAMS: If the Tribunal please, the witness BABA Shachi was stood down at page 22,090 of the record in order that the affidavit might be redrafted. The affidavit has accordingly been redrafted and greatly condensed in accordance with the wishes of the Tribunal.

I am informed that the prosecution does not wish the witness called for cross-examination, his testimony being embodied in defense document No. 2463, which I herewith offer in evidence.

The witness is released on the usual terms

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2463

will receive exhibit No. 3154.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3154 and received in evidence.)

MR. WILLIAMS: I shall read the affidavit:

"AFFIDAVIT OF BABA, SHACHI

"1. I was born at my permanent domicile,
No. 64 Shibamotomachi, Aza Shimogamo, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto
City on August 18th, (the 27th year of Meiji); the
present address is 545, Tatsumi-machi, Fujisawa City,
Kanagawa Prefecture.

"2. I went to Manchuria in 1936 (Showa 11) and studies the opium problem. I became executive manager of the Ksinking Central Anti-Opium Committee (central organ for anti-opium policy in Manchoukuo) in January 1940 (Showa 15)."

The next sentence is a misprint.

"I was given the position of non-regular member of the Manchoukuo Anti-Opium General Bureau together with the position of secretary of the Manchoukuo Anti-Opium Society until the end of the war, and in the former capacity served in carrying out Manchurian anti-opium policies.

as follows:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

"Application of addicts' registration system; sale of opium for the medical treatment of the registered addicts; medical treatment of the existing addicts, etc. The administration was still in a preparatory stage.

"The opium policy in north China was similar to that of Manchoukuo in its principle of prohibition by gradually decreasing the amount of opium generally consumed. A perfect monopoly system had not yet been established. The wholesale buying and selling were entirely left to the Chinese a company named the Raw Opium Company of purely private management acting as the representative organ of the monopoly. Nevertheless, the raw opium which the government had the Raw Opium Company buy up was not enough to meet the demand; the government, therefore, bought about 3,500,000 Tael of raw opium (the unit of opium weight in North China is 31 grammes for one tael) every year from the Mongolian Government.

"In North China many opium smokers did not register for several reasons. That is, there was no difference between the price of official opium and secretly sold opium, and those who registered had to pay a registration tax for opium lamps and opium pipes,

24

"In 1942 I travelled through Central, South and North China to investigate, according to instructions from the Manchoukuo Government, the opium administration and general opium conditions. I was able to obtain materials of various kinds by which I investigated the general condition of opium in China. I was also present at the Manchurian and Chinese Liaison Conference concerning this opium problem.

"Concerning opium I wrote the following books:
"Outline of the History of Chinese Opium'

"The History of the Eastern Penetration of Opium' 1941.

"'Establishment of the Co-prosperity Sphere in East Asia and the Opium Policy' 1943.

"'The Race and Opium' 1944.

"3. In 9th year of Kotaku (in 1942) I was ordered to investigate the condition of opium administration in North China.

"I investigated the condition of opium in the provinces administered by the North China Political Council at that time the North China Political Council established the Anti-Opium General Bureau in Peiping with nine branches under its control and charged them with the anti-opium administration. Its policies were

15

1

2

3

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

1940.

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

while those who did not register could get prepared opium without difficulty at the same price whenever they wanted to. Consequently, many did not register, thereby making the registration extremely uncertain. The number of chronic addicts of opium, by the Estimate of the North China Political Council, was thought to be not less than 3 per cent of the whole population that is, 2,225,000.

"As to anti-opium work, especially in the line of control, one nation or one district by itself cannot accomplish the purpose. Therefore, regarding control of smuggling done in the districts of Peiping and Tientsin, North China and Manchuria, since they all had difficulties in common they frequently held liaison conferences and made common efforts in the hope of finding a solution.

"The North China Political Council ordered nine sanatoriums under the branches of the General Bureau to apply Tungkuang Medicine Treatment and distributed the medicine to other noted hospitals, official and private; thus the healing and salvation of the patients was effectively started. The Tatung coal mine in Mongolia decided to apply this method to miners, in view of the good effect Tungkuang Treatment might have in enhancing efficiency of labor, with

,

considerable success.

"As a Manchurian official connected with the policy of opium prohibition, I am well informed of Japan's policy toward China concerning opium. The Japanese Government adopted a non-interference policy in this point of opium administration, preferring that China herself deal with matters concerning China, and took an attitude of cooperating with the Chinese Anti-Opium Policy, based upon the spirit of the International Opium Convention. The Japanese Government maintained a strong stand in rendering indirect help to the enforcement of the opium policy in China whenever requests were made by the Chinese.

Who the wrecaston of this linguist when the

detendants medanist. At the natest fire anti-ceiter o

"Outline of Anti-Opium Policy Adopted by

Manchoukuo

"When it was first established, Manchoukuo decided to forbid the use of opium and other narcotics. In November 1932, the Anti-Opium Act was promulgated as an ordinance of the State Council, and at the same time a rescript was given to the people in the name of the Premier ordering them to break their national habit of the use of opium and other narcotics.

"Simultaneously with the issue of the Opium Act, the new government set about the task of the long neglected administrative readjustment which was necessary for the enforcement of the new act. The 10-year plan for the anti-opium campaign was formed and officially announced on October 12, 1937.

"In the execution of this 10-year plan the Manchoukuo Government paid the utmost attention to the practical method for effecting a radical cure of the registered addicts within 10 years. ,

"It was natural that various plans for the medical treatment of the registered addicts, which were prescribed in the enforcement plans of the 10-year Anti-Opium Policy, required a great expense and an enormously large-scale mechanism. At the outset five anti-opium hospitals were projected, but later their number was

quickly doubled and further, plans were formed to build one hospital of this kind in each of the provinces, eitie.

"Thus at the end of 1941 two national hospitals and 189 minor ones, including those established respectively by provinces, cities and Mongolian villages, had been completed and they were renamed 'Kangsheng-yuans.' The smaller of these hospitals were equipped with 30 beds and the larger with as many as 390 or more, each addict being permitted to receive hospital treatment, as a rule, for a month.

"The number of the registered addicts that had amounted to over 700,000 in 1938 yearly decreased, and in 1944, they numbered 230,923.

furthering this 10-year Anti-Opium Policy, in January
1940 established the Anti-Opium Bureau by putting together
the Anti-Opium Section (formerly in People's Welfare
Ministry), and the Opium Section and the Opium Factory
in the Monopoly Bureau. This new system, the unifying
of organization and management -- greatly advanced the
development of the opium administration of the country.

"Though slight changes occurred now and then the general system of opium administration after 1940 was as follows:--

"The central bureau was the Anti-Opium Bureau and it had its branches in each of the provinces, cities, prefectures and Mongolian Villages, these being in one unified system. Among the 191 hospitals established for the cure of opium addicts, those of the state establishment were managed by the Anti-Opium Bureau, the others were left by the government to the management of the provinces, cities, prefectures and Mongolian villages, with direct connection with the central bureau, thereby forming an effective part of the Anti-Opium organization. Opium and narcotics were to be distributed only to : i registered addicts to be used as medicine till the cure was finished. What differed in Manchoukuo from other countries in this point of opium control was this:--

"1. None but the registered persons could buy opium.

The government yearly decreased the amount of opium official sale until after 1942 no more than one parcel 1 gram a day was allowed to be sold to one person.

"3. The return of the opium-ashes and parcel paper was made compulsory.

"As above stated, Manchoukuo made a strict limitation on the official sale of opium, using the Opium Administration Offices directly managed by the Opium Administration Divisions in each of the cities, prefecture:

24

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

and Mongolian villages.

"When Manchukuo decided, as stated above, to institute the Anti-Opium Campaign, the greatest problem was how to deal with the registered Opium addicts, numbering more than 700,000 in 1938. By the expenditure of large" -- it should be that -- "large amounts in managing hospitals and by fully mobilizing materials as well as people, a system planned and carried out.

"With these efforts, especially with the application of the Tungkiang medical treatment, the Manchukuo Government was accomplishing the aim of the anti-opium campaign, which seemed about impossible at the beginning. In 1944, namely in the 8th year of the ten year anti-opium campaign, the number of registered smokers was only 230,000. It was expected that in the three provinces of Tungan, Chientae and Lungkow opium sales could be suspended at the end of 1944, as opium smokers in those provinces should number zero by that time.

"At the end of the eighth year of the 10-Year Anti-Opium Policy the Anti-Opium Association was "to established as a juridical person by an Imperial Ordinance. The whole people of the state thus stood together for the development of the Anti-Opium movement by putting together the forces of educational, cultural,

religious and moral organization as well as of the government.

"Ku Tsu-Heng, the Minister of Communications, who had an enthusiastic interest in the promotion of public welfare in Manchukuo, used to tell me as follows:
'The most successful of the policies taken by the Japanese in Manchukuo is the anti-opium policy, and this has borne remarkable results.'

"/S/ BABA, Shachi."

THE PRESIDENT: Major Blakeney.

MR. BLAKENEY: There remain a few odds and ends of defense evidence which for one reason or another were not introduced at the time the defense Russian phase was in progress. First of these, I offer in evidence defense document 1801, being a map of the Hailar Sapra area prepared in 1932 by the Japanese Land Survey Department, showing the Manchukuo-Mongolia border following the River Khalkin.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1801 will receive exhibit No. 3155.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3155 and received in evidence.)

MR. BLAKENEY: Defense document, 1802; which I

24

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

new offer in evidence, is a set of five maps, prepared by the Japanese Land Survey Department at various dates from 1933 to 1936, based upon Russian originals, showing on a samll scale (1:100,000) the Nomonhan area, from which it is clear that the Manchukuo-Mongolia boundary follows the Khalkin-Gol from Lake Buir Nor.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense documents 1802-A to E will receive exhibit Nos. 3156 and 3156-A,B,C and D.

(Whereupon, the documents above referred to were marked defense exhibit Nos. 3156 and 3156-A,B,C and D respectively and received in evidence.)

MR. BLAKENEY: Next I offer in evidence a certificate of provenance of exhibit 2713 as well as of six other sheets of the set from which it is taken. It bears defense document No. 1803A-F and exhibit 2713. I take it, it doesn't require an additional number. Since it relates to the exhibit already in evidence, I assume it doesn't require an additional number.

The six other sheets of the same map, defense documents 1803A-F. I offer in evidence only at the request of the prosecution, they having no interest to the defense nor any bearing on the issues of the case.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

25

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

16

18

20

21

22

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense documents
1803-A to F will receive exhibit Nos. 3157 and 3157-A,
B,C,D and E.

(Whereupon, the documents above referred to were marked defense exhibit Nos.

and received in evidence.)

MR. BLAKENEY: I now offer in evidence the certificate of provenance of exhibit 2714 --

3157, and 3157-A,B,C,D and E respectively

THE PRESIDENT: Major Blakeney, I think you are travelling too fast somehow. My colleagues are not getting all these documents and they are getting them unmarked.

We will adjourn now until half-past nine tomorrow morning.

(Whereupon, at 1600, an adjournment was taken until Wednesday,,10 September 1947, at 0930.)

22 23